arXiv:1701.06222v1 [math.RT] 22 Jan 2017

RINGEL DUAL BOCSES AND SMOOTH RATIONAL SURFACES

AGNIESZKA BODZENTA AND JULIAN KÜLSHAMMER

Abstract. In [KKO14], S. Koenig, S. Ovsienko and the second author showed that every quasi-hereditary algebra is Morita equivalent to the right algebra (i.e. the opposite algebra of the left dual) of a coring. Let V be an A-coring whose right algebra R is quasi-hereditary. In this paper, we give a combinatorial description of a B-coring W whose right algebra is given by the Ringel dual of R. We apply our results to obtain in small examples restrictions on the A_∞-structure of the Ext-algebra of standard modules over a class of quasi-hereditary algebras related to birational morphisms of smooth surfaces.

1. Introduction

Exceptional collections are abundant in algebraic and symplectic geometry as well as in representation theory. For example, they appear in the process of identifying certain Fukaya–Seidel categories, see e.g. [Sei08]. In algebraic geometry, starting with the example of the projective space by A. Beilinson [Beĭ84], (strong) exceptional collections are used to realise derived categories of coherent sheaves as derived categories of finite dimensional algebras [Bon89]. In representation theory, the main source of examples for exceptional collections are quasi-hereditary algebras. In this situation, the exceptional collections consist of so-called standard modules. Examples of quasi-hereditary algebras are Schur algebras and algebras of global dimension smaller than or equal to two. Also, blocks of BGG category $\mathcal{O}$ are equivalent to categories of modules over quasi-hereditary algebras. In work with S. Koenig and S. Ovsienko [KKO14], the second author showed that quasi-hereditary algebras can equivalently be described as the right (or left) algebras of directed corings over algebras (also called bocses for 'bimodule over category with coalgebra structure'), see Definition 4.1 for the definition of a directed bocs and Theorem 4.5 for the main theorem of [KKO14]. The directed bocs is obtained from the differential graded algebra given by the dual cobar resolution of the Ext-algebra of the standard modules equipped with its canonical A_∞-structure.

If Λ is a quasi-hereditary algebra and $\mathfrak{A}$ the corresponding bocs then $\mathcal{D}^b(\operatorname{mod}\Lambda) \simeq \mathcal{D}^b(\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A})$. The bocs $\mathfrak{A}$ consists of a $\Bbbk$-algebra A and an A-coring V. The category of modules over $\mathfrak{A}$ has A-modules as objects while morphisms can be thought of as maps $V \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(M_1, M_2)$ satisfying some extra conditions, see Proposition 5.1.

An important concept in the theory of exceptional collections is the (left and right) mutation introduced by A. Bondal in [Bon89]. For an exceptional collection $(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n)$ in a triangulated category, its left mutation at i is an exceptional collection $(\Delta'_1, \dots, \Delta'_n)$ with

Date: January 24, 2017.

$\Delta'_{\mathtt{j}} = \Delta_{\mathtt{j}}$ for all $\mathtt{j} \neq \mathtt{i}, \mathtt{i}+1$ and $\Delta'_{\mathtt{i}+1} = \Delta_{\mathtt{i}}$. Mutations of exceptional collections induce an action of the braid group on the set of exceptional collections in a triangulated category. Given an exceptional collection, there is a distinguished other collection, sometimes called the (Koszul) dual exceptional collection. It is obtained by mutating the exceptional collection along the 'global half-twist' $\beta_{\mathtt{n}-1}(\beta_{\mathtt{n}-2}\beta_{\mathtt{n}-1})\cdots(\beta_{\mathtt{2}}\cdots\beta_{\mathtt{n}-1})(\beta_{\mathtt{1}}\cdots\beta_{\mathtt{n}-1}) \in \mathrm{Br}_n$. For the collection of standard modules over a quasi-hereditary algebra Λ elements of the Koszul dual exceptional collection are again modules – the costandard modules over Λ denoted by ∇. There is in fact another quasi-hereditary algebra, derived equivalent to Λ, having the exceptional collection of costandard modules as standard modules. It is called the Ringel dual of the original quasi-hereditary algebra. In the language of directed bocses, the Ringel dual of the right algebra of a directed bocs is given by its left algebra.

Let (A, V) be a directed bocs. In [Ovs06], S. Ovsienko proposed a construction of a bocs $\mathfrak{B} = (B, W)$, whose right algebra is Morita equivalent to the left algebra of (A, V) and vice versa. But his paper is lacking a lot of details in the proof of the construction. This paper provides full details of the proof of the following theorem.

Theorem. *Let Λ be a quasi-hereditary algebra. Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A, V)$ be the corresponding directed bocs. Denote by $\overline{V}$ the kernel of the counit. A quotient of the dual cobar resolution of the differential graded algebra $T_A(\overline{V})$ provides a combinatorial construction for a bocs (B, W) having the Ringel dual of Λ as the right algebra. For details see Section 7.*

Note that since the directed coring (A, V) provides the same information as the A_∞-structure on $\mathrm{Ext}^*_\Lambda(\Delta, \Delta)$, this gives a combinatorial method to obtain the A_∞-structure on $\mathrm{Ext}^*_\Lambda(\nabla, \nabla)$ from the A_∞-structure on $\mathrm{Ext}^*(\Delta, \Delta)$. This result should be compared with S. Oppermann's combinatorial construction in [Opp15] of the silting mutation of a differential graded algebra.

The proof of the above theorem is divided into several steps which we find of independent interest. First, we consider explicit complexes $\square_i^\bullet$ of $\mathfrak{A}$-modules and prove that they are homotopically projective. If we denote by $\lozenge_i$ the dual $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{op}}$-modules then $\mathcal{F}(\lozenge) \simeq \mathcal{F}(\nabla)$. As Ringel duality yields an equivalence $\mathcal{F}(\nabla_\Lambda) \simeq \mathcal{F}(\Delta_{R(\Lambda)})$, in order to find the dual bocs we present the category $\mathcal{F}(\lozenge)$ as the category of right modules over a bocs. To this end we prove (see Theorem 5.7 for the precise statement):

Theorem. *The category $\mathcal{F}(\square)$ is equivalent to the category of complexes $N^\bullet$ of $\mathfrak{A}$-modules such that $N^j \simeq \overline{V}^{\otimes_A j} \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} Y$, for an $\mathbb{L}$-module Y. The differential $N^j \to N^{j+1}$ is encoded in a map $c_Y \colon Y \to \overline{V} \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} Y$.*

In the next step we further simplify the description of $\mathcal{F}(\square)$ and with Theorem 5.9 show

Theorem. *The category $\mathcal{F}(\square)$ is equivalent to the category $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{A})$ whose objects are pairs (Y, c_Y) of an $\mathbb{L}$-module and a map $c_Y \colon Y \to \overline{V} \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} Y$ satisfying some additional condition.*

Finally, we show that $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{A})$ is equivalent to the category $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{A})$ whose objects are $\mathbb{L}$-modules Y together with a map $s_Y \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}\otimes\mathbb{L}}(\mathbb{D}\overline{V}, \mathrm{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(Y, Y))$ while morphisms $(Y, s_Y) \to (Z, s_Z)$ are represented by elements of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}\otimes\mathbb{L}}(\mathbb{D}A, \mathrm{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(Y, Z))$. It suggests

that $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{A})$ is equivalent to the category of a bocs (B, W) where B is an algebra generated by $\overline{V}$ and W is a bimodule generated by $\mathbb{D}A$.

The strategy of proof for the part on Ringel duality follows [Ovs06]. As already remarked, in his paper, the proofs of all statements except for the well-definedness in Theorem 5.9 are sketches. Here we provide explicit calculations. Furthermore, in the proofs of Theorem 5.7 and the equivalence of 5.9 we chose to incline to explicit calculations and the known theory of quasi-hereditary algebras instead of referring to a yet to be developed derived homological algebra for bocses.

As mentioned earlier, in algebraic geometry, exceptional collections are used to realise derived categories of coherent sheaves on projective varieties as derived categories of finite dimensional algebras. In [Bon89], A. Bondal proved that full strong exceptional collections yield such derived equivalences. Here an exceptional collection is called ***full*** if it classically generates the derived category and ***strong*** if $\mathrm{Hom}(\Delta_{\mathtt{i}}, \Delta_{\mathtt{j}}[s]) = 0$ for all $\mathtt{i}$ and $\mathtt{j}$ and every $s \neq 0$. The next step is considering full ***almost strong*** exceptional collections, i.e. collections where $\mathrm{Hom}(\Delta_{\mathtt{i}}, \Delta_{\mathtt{j}}[s]) = 0$ for all $\mathtt{i}$ and $\mathtt{j}$ and every $s \neq 0, 1$. In representation theory, quasi-hereditary algebras with such a set of standard modules are called left strongly quasi-hereditary. They appear in O. Iyama's proof of finiteness of representation dimension, see [Iya03, Rin10].

In algebraic geometry, examples of almost strong exceptional collections are given by exceptional collections of line bundles in derived categories of smooth rational surfaces. Recall that every smooth rational surface X (except for $\mathbb{P}^2$ which has a strong exceptional collection by the work of Beĭlinson [Beĭ84]) is obtained from a Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{F}_a$ by a sequence of blow-ups, i.e. there exists a birational morphism $f\colon X \to \mathbb{F}_a$. It is well-known that the derived category of a Hirzebruch surface admits a full strong exceptional collection $(F_1, \dots, F_4)$, see e.g. [HP11]. In [HP11, HP14], L. Hille and M. Perling showed how to obtain a full almost strong exceptional in $D^b(\mathrm{Coh}\, X)$ from such a full strong exceptional collection on $\mathbb{F}_a$. In [Bod13], the first author proved that the exceptional collection on X can be mutated to a collection $(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_{\mathtt{n}-4}, f^*(F_1), \dots, f^*(F_4))$. The collection $(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_{\mathtt{n}-4})$ is almost strong and the dimension of both $\mathrm{Hom}_X(\Delta_{\mathtt{i}}, \Delta_{\mathtt{j}})$ and $\mathrm{Ext}^1_X(\Delta_{\mathtt{i}}, \Delta_{\mathtt{j}})$ is at most one. Moreover, the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$ generated by $(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_{\mathtt{n}-4})$ is equivalent to the derived category of modules over a quasi-hereditary algebra Λ_f and the category of Λ_f-modules admits a duality which preserves simple modules. As the morphism f is a contraction of a curve, we call algebras satisfying the above properties ***curve-like***.

As an application of the main result of our paper, we illustrate how to obtain restrictions on the possible A_∞-structures on the Ext-algebras of standard modules over curve-like algebras. We use them to classify all possible curve-like algebras with up to 4 simple modules.

Theorem. *There is one curve-like algebra with two simple modules, three curve-like algebras with three simple modules and thirteen curve-like algebras with four simple modules. All algebras with two and three simple modules are Morita equivalent to an algebra Λ_f or its Ringel dual for a birational morphism f of smooth surfaces. There are four curve-like*

algebras with four simple modules which are not Morita equivalent to Λ_f or its Ringel dual for any f. In the classification of Section 8.2 these are algebras A1, B1, B2 and G1.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we fix our notation. In Section 3 we recall the necessary background on quasi-hereditary algebras. Section 4 is devoted to recalling the main results of [KKO14] describing quasi-hereditary algebras in terms of directed bocses and identifying the costandard modules in the derived category. In Section 5 we describe the category of modules filtered by costandard modules in terms of a "category of comodules" $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{A})$. In Section 6 we use a standard isomorphism to translate $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{A})$ into the category $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{A})$ closer to quiver representations. Section 7 defines the bocs corresponding to the Ringel dual of a quasi-hereditary algebra given the datum of the bocs of a quasi-hereditary algebra. Finally, in Section 8 we apply our results to obtain restrictions on the A_∞-algebra structures on Ext-algebras of standard modules over curve-liked algebras.

2. Notation

Throughout, we assume $\Bbbk$ to be an algebraically closed field. By an algebra we always mean a finite dimensional associative unital $\Bbbk$-algebra. All modules are assumed to be finite dimensional. For an algebra Λ we denote the category of finite dimensional left Λ-modules by $\operatorname{mod}\Lambda$ and the category of finite dimensional right Λ-modules by $\operatorname{mod}\Lambda^{\mathrm{op}}$. There is a $\Bbbk$-duality $\mathbb{D}(-) = \operatorname{Hom}_\Bbbk(-,\Bbbk)\colon \operatorname{mod}\Lambda \to \operatorname{mod}\Lambda^{\mathrm{op}}$. For an algebra Λ we denote the simple Λ-modules by $L(\mathtt{i})$ where $\mathtt{i}$ runs through a set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of simple modules, denoted $\{\mathtt{1},\dots,\mathtt{n}\}$. The corresponding projective module with top $L(\mathtt{i})$ is denoted $P(\mathtt{i})$. Denote by $\mathbb{L} = \prod_{\mathtt{i}=\mathtt{1}}^{\mathtt{n}} \Bbbk$ a semisimple algebra, which can also be regarded as a $\Bbbk$-linear category having $\mathtt{n}$ objects and only scalar multiples of the identities as morphisms. For a basic algebra A with $\mathtt{n}$ simple modules, there is an algebra morphism $\mathbb{L} \hookrightarrow A$ which allows to regard A-modules as $\mathbb{L}$-modules. A quiver $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, s, t)$ consists of a set of vertices Q_0, a set of arrows Q_1 and two functions $s,t\colon Q_1 \to Q_0$ giving the starting and terminal vertex of an arrow, respectively. Sometimes, the arrows of Q are graded. In this case, we write Q_1^μ for the component of degree μ in Q_1.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category and Θ be a set of objects. The full subcategory

$$\mathcal{F}(\Theta) := \{M \in \mathcal{A} \,|\, \exists 0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset M_2 \subset \cdots \subset M_r \text{ with } M_{j+1}/M_j \in \Theta\} \tag{2.0.1}$$

of $\mathcal{A}$ is called the category of Θ-***filtered objects***.

For an idempotent split exact category $\mathcal{E}$ we denote by $C^b(\mathcal{E})$ the category of bounded complexes in $\mathcal{E}$, by $K^b(\mathcal{E})$ its bounded homotopy category, and by $D^b(\mathcal{E})$ its bounded derived category, which exists by work of Thomason and Trobaugh [TT90], see also [Nee90]. The shift functor in $K^b(\mathcal{E})$ as well as $D^b(\mathcal{E})$ is denoted [1]. Call a full subcategory $\mathcal{F} \subseteq D^b(\mathcal{E})$ ***extension closed*** if for all triangles $X \to Y \to Z \to X[1]$ where $X, Z \in \mathcal{F}$ also $Y \in \mathcal{F}$. For a set of objects $\mathcal{X}$ let the extension closure $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X})$ of $\mathcal{X}$ be the smallest extension closed subcategory containing $\mathcal{X}$. For an idempotent complete exact category $\mathcal{E}$ and a set of objects $\Theta \subset \mathcal{E}$, the category $\mathcal{F}(\Theta) \subset \mathcal{E}$ given by (2.0.1) is the extension closure of Θ in $D^b(\mathcal{E})$.

We shall need the following A-bilinear maps associated to a bocs $\mathcal{A} = (A, V)$

- $m_A \colon A \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} A \to A$, the multiplication map
- $m_l \colon A \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{V} \to \overline{V}$, the defining map for the left module structure,
- $m_r \colon \overline{V} \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} A \to \overline{V}$, the definining map for the right module structure,
- $m_L \colon A \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} (\overline{V} \otimes_A \overline{V}) \to \overline{V} \otimes_A \overline{V}$, the left module structure map,
- $m_R \colon (\overline{V} \otimes_A \overline{V}) \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} A \to \overline{V} \otimes_A \overline{V}$, the right module structure map
- $m_{\overline{V}} \colon \overline{V} \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{V} \to \overline{V} \otimes_A \overline{V}$, the natural projection.

By $m \colon \operatorname{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(M_2, M_3) \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(M_1, M_2) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(M_1, M_3)$ we denote the composition of morphisms.

3. Quasi-hereditary algebras

In this section, we recall basic facts about quasi-hereditary algebras. For further information, see the excellent survey articles by Dlab–Ringel [DR92] and Klucznik–Koenig [KK99].

Definition 3.1. Let Λ be an algebra with isomorphism classes of simple modules indexed by $\{\mathtt{1}, \dots, \mathtt{n}\}$. For $\mathtt{i} \in \{\mathtt{1}, \dots, \mathtt{n}\}$ the module

$$\Delta(\mathtt{i}) := P(\mathtt{i}) / \left(\sum_{\substack{f \colon P(\mathtt{j}) \to P(\mathtt{i}) \\ \mathtt{j} \geq \mathtt{i}}} \operatorname{Im} f \right)$$

is called the ***standard module*** associated to $\mathtt{i}$. The algebra Λ is called ***quasi-hereditary*** if $\operatorname{End}_\Lambda(\Delta(\mathtt{i})) \cong \Bbbk$ for all $\mathtt{i} \in \{\mathtt{1}, \dots, \mathtt{n}\}$ and $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$.

Remark 3.2. Dually, using the injective modules $I(\mathtt{i})$ with socle $L(\mathtt{i})$, one can define the ***costandard modules*** $\nabla(\mathtt{i})$. For an algebra Λ to be quasi-hereditary is then equivalent to $\operatorname{End}_\Lambda(\nabla(\mathtt{i})) \cong \Bbbk$ for all $\mathtt{i} \in \{\mathtt{1}, \dots, \mathtt{n}\}$ and $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}(\nabla)$.

Dlab–Ringel's standardisation theorem states that every set of objects in an abelian category which behaves like the set of standard modules for a quasi-hereditary algebra (i.e. forms an exceptional collection in the abelian category), actually comes from a quasi-hereditary algebra:

Theorem 3.3 (Dlab–Ringel standardisation theorem)**.** *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an abelian category. Let $\{\Theta(\mathtt{i}) \mid \mathtt{i} = \mathtt{1}, \dots, \mathtt{n}\}$ be a standardisable set of objects, i.e. a set of objects in $\mathcal{C}$ satisfying*

(F) $\dim_{\Bbbk} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\Theta(\mathtt{i}), \Theta(\mathtt{j})) < \infty$, $\dim_{\Bbbk} \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}}(\Theta(\mathtt{i}), \Theta(\mathtt{j})) < \infty$,

(D) $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\Theta(\mathtt{i})) \cong \Bbbk$, $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\Theta(\mathtt{i}), \Theta(\mathtt{j})) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \mathtt{i} \leq \mathtt{j}$ *and* $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}}(\Theta(\mathtt{i}), \Theta(\mathtt{j})) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \mathtt{i} < \mathtt{j}$.

Then, there exists a quasi-hereditary algebra Γ, unique up to Morita equivalence, such that the categories $\mathcal{F}(\Theta)$ and $\mathcal{F}(\Delta_\Gamma)$ are equivalent.

The costandard modules form a standardisable set in $\operatorname{mod}\Lambda$ with respect to the opposite ordering of $\{\mathtt{1}, \dots, \mathtt{n}\}$.

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be an exact category. An object $T \in \mathcal{E}$ is an Ext-***projective generator for*** $\mathcal{E}$ if, for any $X \in \mathcal{E}$, there exists an admissible epimorphism $T^{\oplus m} \to X$ in $\mathcal{E}$, for some m, while the group $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{E}}(T, X)$ vanishes.

Definition 3.4. Let Λ be a quasi-hereditary algebra. The (unique up to multiplicities of direct summands) Ext-projective generator T of $\mathcal{F}(\nabla)$ is called the ***characteristic tilting module*** of Λ. The opposite of the endomorphism algebra of the characteristic tilting module is called the ***Ringel dual*** of Λ. It is Morita equivalent to the algebra Γ obtained by applying Dlab–Ringel standardisation theorem to the standardisable set of costandard modules,

Remark 3.5. The module T is indeed a ***tilting*** module in the sense of Miyashita [Miy86], i.e.

(T1) $\mathrm{projdim}\, T < \infty$,
(T2) $\mathrm{Ext}^i_\Lambda(T, T) = 0$ for all $i \neq 0$,
(T3) T has $\mathtt{n}$ indecomposable direct summands up to isomorphism.

This implies in particular that a quasi-hereditary algebra Λ and its Ringel dual Γ are derived (Morita) equivalent.

The categories $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ and $\mathcal{F}(\nabla)$ are idempotent split exact categories. Hence, by work of Thomason and Trobaugh [TT90], see also [Nee90], one can form their bounded derived categories.

Lemma 3.6 ([MS16, Lemma 7.1])**.** *Let Λ be a quasi-hereditary algebra. Then*

$$D^b(\mathcal{F}(\Delta)) \cong D^b(\mathrm{mod}\,\Lambda) \cong D^b(\mathcal{F}(\nabla)).$$

The costandard modules can be identified in $D^b(\mathrm{mod}\,\Lambda)$ by a certain orthogonality property with respect to the standard modules. In Section 5, we use this to identify the objects corresponding to the costandard modules in yet another description of the derived category of Λ.

Lemma 3.7. *Let Λ be a quasi-hereditary algebra, $\mathtt{i} \in \{\mathtt{1}, \dots, \mathtt{n}\}$, and M an object of $D^b(\mathrm{mod}\,\Lambda)$ such that for all $\mathtt{i} \in \{\mathtt{1}, \dots, \mathtt{n}\}$, $\mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(\Delta(\mathtt{j}), M[s]) \cong \begin{cases} \Bbbk & \text{if } s = 0, \mathtt{j} = \mathtt{i}, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$*
Then $M \cong \nabla(\mathtt{i})$.

Proof. First of all note that $\mathrm{Ext}^s_\Lambda(\Delta(\mathtt{j}), M) = 0$ for $s \neq 0$ implies that $\mathrm{Ext}^s_\Lambda(\Lambda, M) = 0$ since Λ is filtered by Δ's. This implies that M is indeed a module.

The condition $\mathrm{Ext}^1_\Lambda(\Delta(\mathtt{j}), M) = 0$ for all $\mathtt{j}$ is equivalent to $M \in \mathcal{F}(\nabla)$ by [KK99, Proposition 2.1]. In this case $\dim \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(\Delta(\mathtt{j}), M) = [M : \nabla(\mathtt{j})]$ counts the multiplicity of $\nabla(\mathtt{s})$ in M in any given ∇-filtration of M, see e.g. [DR92, Lemma 2.4] for the dual statement. It follows that $M \cong \nabla(\mathtt{i})$. □

4. Directed bocses

In this section we recall from [KKO14] the alternative definition of quasi-hereditary algebras via bocses. These mathematical objects are also called corings. For further reading, we refer to the survey article [Kül17], for general theory of bocses or corings to [BW03], [BSZ09], and [Bur05].

Definition 4.1. (i) A ***prebocs*** is a tuple $\mathfrak{A} = (A, V, \mu)$ where A is an algebra, V is an A-bimodule and $\mu\colon V \to V \otimes_A V$ is an A-bilinear coassociative map called the ***comultiplication***.

(ii) A ***bocs*** $\mathfrak{A} = (A, V, \mu, \varepsilon)$ is a prebocs (A, V, μ) together with a ***counit*** $\varepsilon\colon V \to A$ for A. In this case V is also called an ***A-coring***.

(iii) An element $\omega \in V$ is called a ***grouplike*** if $\mu(\omega) = \omega \otimes \omega$.

(iv) A bocs is ***normal*** if there is a grouplike element $\omega \in V$ with $\varepsilon(\omega) = 1$.

(v) A bocs is ***directed*** if the counit is surjective, the Gabriel quiver of A is directed, and $\overline{V} := \ker \varepsilon \cong \bigoplus Ae_{\mathtt{j}} \otimes_{\Bbbk} e_{\mathtt{i}}A$ where the sum runs over certain $\mathtt{i}, \mathtt{j}$ all satisfying $\mathtt{i} < \mathtt{j}$.

(vi) For a bocs $\mathfrak{A} = (A, V)$ the algebra $R := R_{\mathfrak{A}} := \mathrm{Hom}_A(V, A)$ with the multiplication $g \circ f$ of $f, g \in \mathrm{Hom}_A(V, A)$ given by the composition of the maps

$$V \xrightarrow{\ \mu\ } V \otimes_A V \xrightarrow{\ 1 \otimes g\ } V \otimes_A A \xrightarrow{\ \sim\ } V \xrightarrow{\ f\ } A$$

is the ***right algebra*** of (A, V).

(vii) Dually, the ***left algebra*** of (A, V) is the algebra $L := L_{\mathfrak{A}} := \mathrm{Hom}_{A^{\mathrm{op}}}(V, A)$ with the multiplication $g \circ f$ of two morphisms $f, g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{A^{\mathrm{op}}}(V, A)$ given by the composition of the maps

$$V \xrightarrow{\ \mu\ } V \otimes_A V \xrightarrow{\ f \otimes 1\ } A \otimes_A V \xrightarrow{\ \sim\ } V \xrightarrow{\ g\ } A.$$

Remark 4.2. (i) Any projective indecomposable A-bimodule is of the form $Ae_{\mathtt{j}} \otimes_{\Bbbk} e_{\mathtt{i}}A$, for some pair $(\mathtt{i}, \mathtt{j})$. Hence, condition (v) states that the kernel of ε is projective and, for any direct summand $Ae_{\mathtt{j}} \otimes_{\Bbbk} e_{\mathtt{i}}A$ of $\ker \varepsilon$, we have $\mathtt{i} < \mathtt{j}$.

(ii) In [BW03] and other literature on corings, the opposite algebra of the right algebra is called the left dual of the coring, the opposite algebra of the left algebra is called the right dual of the coring.

In our situation we can always assume that the underlying algebra A is in fact basic. Hence, it can be regarded as a category with objects $\mathtt{1}, \ldots, \mathtt{n}$. In this context, multiplying a grouplike ω such that $\varepsilon(\omega) = 1$ from the left and from the right with $e_{\mathtt{i}}$, the primitive idempotent corresponding to the simple A-module $L_A(\mathtt{i})$, we obtain elements $\omega_{\mathtt{i}} := e_{\mathtt{i}}\omega e_{\mathtt{i}}$ with $\varepsilon(\omega_{\mathtt{i}}) = e_{\mathtt{i}}$.

For a bocs $\mathfrak{A}$, one can construct its category of representations. It is a concrete description of the more abstractly defined Kleisli category of the comonad $V \otimes_A -$.

Definition 4.3. Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A, V, \mu, \varepsilon)$ be a bocs. The category $\mathrm{mod}\,\mathfrak{A}$ of representations of $\mathfrak{A}$ is defined via:

objects: are A-modules,
morphisms: for $M, N \in \operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A}$, $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{A}}(M,N) = \operatorname{Hom}_{A\otimes A^{\mathrm{op}}}(V, \operatorname{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(M,N))$,
composition: for $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{A}}(L,M)$ and $g \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{A}}(M,N)$ their composition $g \circ f$ is given by the following composition of A-bilinear maps:

$$V \xrightarrow{\mu} V \otimes_A V \xrightarrow{g\otimes f} \operatorname{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(M,N) \otimes_A \operatorname{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(L,M) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{comp}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(L,N),$$

unit: the morphism $\mathbb{1}_M \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{A}}(M,M)$ is given by the composition of

$$V \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} A \xrightarrow{\lambda} \operatorname{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(M,M)$$

where λ is the morphism sending an element $a \in A$ to left multiplication with a.

Note that each bocs $\mathfrak{A} = (A, V, \mu, \varepsilon)$ has an ***opposite bocs*** $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{op}} = (A^{\mathrm{op}}, V^{\mathrm{op}}, \mu, \varepsilon)$ where A^{op} is the opposite algebra of A, $V^{\mathrm{op}} = V$, but regarded as an A^{op}-A^{op}-bimodule instead of an A-A-bimodule and the comultiplication and counit coincide.

As in the case of algebras, the categories of $\mathfrak{A}$-modules and $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{op}}$-modules are dual to each other:

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a bocs, $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{op}}$ its opposite bocs. Then, the $\Bbbk$-duality $\mathbb{D} = \operatorname{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(-,\Bbbk)$ induces a duality $\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A} \to \operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{op}}$.*

Proof. Define $\mathbb{D}M := \operatorname{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(M,\Bbbk)$ for an $\mathfrak{A}$-module M. This defines $\mathbb{D}$ on objects. To define it on morphisms recall the bimodule action of A on $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Bbbk}({}_AM, {}_AN)$ as well as $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Bbbk}({}_{A^{\mathrm{op}}}\mathbb{D}N, {}_{A^{\mathrm{op}}}\mathbb{D}M)$. For $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(M,N)$, $x \in M$, $a,b \in A$, the bimodule action is defined by $(afb)(x) := a \cdot f(bx)$. Dually, for $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(\mathbb{D}N, \mathbb{D}M)$, $\chi \in \mathbb{D}N$, the bimodule action is defined by $(a\varphi b)(\chi) = \varphi(\chi a)\cdot b$. We claim that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(M,N) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(\mathbb{D}N, \mathbb{D}M)$ as A-A-bimodules. Noting that $(\mathbb{D}f)(\chi) = \chi \circ f$, this follows from

$$\begin{aligned}(a(\mathbb{D}f)b)(\chi)(x) &= ((\mathbb{D}f)(\chi a)\cdot b)(x) & &= (\mathbb{D}f)(\chi a)(bx)\\ &= (\chi a)(f(bx)) & &= \chi(af(bx))\\ &= (\chi\circ(afb))(x) & &= \mathbb{D}(afb)(\chi)(x).\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\operatorname{Hom}_{A-A}(V, \operatorname{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(M,N)) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{A^{\mathrm{op}}-A^{\mathrm{op}}}(V, \operatorname{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(\mathbb{D}N, \mathbb{D}M))$ proving that $\mathbb{D}$ defines a duality on $\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A}$. □

We recall the main result of [KKO14] stating that the category of Δ-filtered modules for a quasi-hereditary algebra Λ can be obtained as the category of modules for a bocs $\mathfrak{A}$ which can be constructed explicitly from the A_∞-algebra structure on $\operatorname{Ext}^i_\Lambda(\Delta,\Delta)$. For the definition of the exact structure on $\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A}$ see [KKO14].

Theorem 4.5. *Let Λ be a quasi-hereditary algebra. Then, the following statements hold.*

(i) There exists a Morita equivalent algebra $R \sim_{\mathrm{Mor}} \Lambda$ such that R is the right algebra of a normal directed bocs (A,V). The algebra A can be chosen to be basic.
(ii) Conversely, the right algebra of every normal directed bocs is quasi-hereditary. In this case, $\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A} \cong \mathcal{F}(\Delta_R) \cong \operatorname{ind}^R_A$ as exact categories where the latter is the category of all induced modules from A to R, i.e. all R-modules of the form $R\otimes_A M$ for some

A-module M. In particular, the simple A-module $L(\mathtt{i})$ in $\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A}$ is mapped to the standard module $\Delta(\mathtt{i}) = R \otimes_A L(\mathtt{i})$ for R.

(iii) Dually, the left algebra of every normal directed bocs is quasi-hereditary with $\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A} \cong \mathcal{F}(\Delta_L) \cong \operatorname{coind}_A^L$ as exact categories where the latter is the category of all coinduced modules from A to L, i.e. L-modules of the form $\operatorname{Hom}_A(L, M)$ for some A-module M.

(iv) Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A, V)$ be a normal directed bocs with right algebra R. Then,

$$\operatorname{Ext}^i_A(M, N) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(R \otimes_A M, R \otimes_A N)$$

for all $i \geq 2$.

(v) The left algebra L of a normal directed bocs $\mathfrak{A}$ is Morita equivalent to the Ringel dual of its right algebra R.

(vi) Moreover, for $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{op}}$, $L_{\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{op}}}$ is isomorphic to $R_{\mathfrak{A}}^{\mathrm{op}}$.

The duality $\mathbb{D}\colon \operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A} \to \operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{op}}$ of Lemma 4.4 is compatible with the duality $\mathbb{D}(-) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(-, \Bbbk)\colon \operatorname{mod} R_{\mathfrak{A}} \to \operatorname{mod} L_{\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{op}}}$ in the sense that the following diagram commutes up to natural isomorphism:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A} & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{D}} & \operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{op}} \\ {\scriptstyle R\otimes_A -}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \operatorname{Hom}_{A^{\mathrm{op}}}(L_{\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{op}}}, -)} \\ \operatorname{mod} R_{\mathfrak{A}} & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{D}} & \operatorname{mod} L_{\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{op}}} \end{array}$$

Indeed, for a left A-module M, the dual of the induced $R_{\mathfrak{A}}$-module is $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(R_{\mathfrak{A}} \otimes_A M, \Bbbk)$ with right $R_{\mathfrak{A}}$-module structure induced by the left $R_{\mathfrak{A}}$ -module structure on $R_{\mathfrak{A}} \otimes_A M$. On the other hand by the tensor-hom adjunction, the left $L_{\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{op}}}$-module coinduced from $\mathbb{D}(M)$, $\operatorname{Hom}_{A^{\mathrm{op}}}(L_{\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{op}}}, \operatorname{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(M, \Bbbk))$, is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(M \otimes_{A^{\mathrm{op}}} L_{\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{op}}}, \Bbbk)$ with left $L_{\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{op}}}$-module structure induced by the right $L_{\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{op}}}$-module structure of $M \otimes_{A^{\mathrm{op}}} L_{\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{op}}}$. Since $R_{\mathfrak{A}}^{\mathrm{op}} \cong L_{\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{op}}}$, we have an isomorphism $(M \otimes_{A^{\mathrm{op}}} L_{\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{op}}})_{L_{\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{op}}}} \cong {}_{R_{\mathfrak{A}}}(R_{\mathfrak{A}} \otimes_A M)$ which induces a natural isomorphism $\mathbb{D}(\operatorname{ind}_A^{R_{\mathfrak{A}}}(M)) \cong \operatorname{coind}_A^{L_{\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{op}}}}(\mathbb{D}(M))$ of left $L_{\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{op}}}$ modules.

Our goal in this article is to construct, directly from (A, V), a bocs (B, W) whose right algebra is Morita equivalent to the Ringel dual of the right algebra of (A, V), i.e. the left algebra of (A, V). To describe explicitly how the bocs (B, W) is obtained we need the following lemma from [KKO14, Lemmas 7.5–7.7].

Lemma 4.6. *Let B be a category with set of objects $\{\mathtt{1}, \ldots, \mathtt{n}\}$ and let U_1 be a B-bimodule. Assume that the tensor category $U := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} U_1^{\otimes i}$ is endowed with the tensor grading, i.e. $\deg B = 0$ and $\deg U_1 = 1$. Suppose that U is equipped with a differential d. Denote by $(d(B))$ the B-bimodule generated by $d(B)$ and let $W := U_1/(d(B))$ with $\pi\colon U_1 \to W$ the canonical projection.*

(i) There is a prebocs (B, W, μ) such that $\mu\pi = (\pi \otimes_B \pi)d_1$.

(ii) Assume, in addition, that $U_1 = U_\Omega \oplus \overline{U}$ is decomposed as B-bimodule, where U_Ω is a projective bimodule $U_\Omega = \bigoplus_{\mathtt{i}\in\mathbb{L}} B\omega_{\mathtt{i}}B$ with $\omega_{\mathtt{i}}$ a generator of U_Ω, i.e. the image

of an element $e_{\mathtt{i}} \otimes e_{\mathtt{i}}$ under a fixed direct summand embedding $Be_{\mathtt{i}} \otimes_{\Bbbk} e_{\mathtt{i}}B \hookrightarrow U_\Omega$. Suppose that
(d1) $d(\omega_{\mathtt{i}}) = \omega_{\mathtt{i}} \otimes \omega_{\mathtt{i}}$,
(d2) for all $b \in B(\mathtt{i}, \mathtt{j})$ we have $d(b) = \omega_{\mathtt{j}} b - b\omega_{\mathtt{i}} + \partial b$ for some $\partial b \in \overline{U}$,
(d3) for all $u \in \overline{U}(\mathtt{i}, \mathtt{j})$ we have $d(u) = \omega_{\mathtt{j}} u + u\omega_{\mathtt{i}} + \partial u$ for some $\partial u \in \overline{U} \otimes \overline{U}$.
Then, the prebocs is a bocs with counit $\varepsilon\colon W \to B$ such that $\tilde{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon\pi$ where $\tilde{\varepsilon}\colon U_1 \to B$ is given by $\tilde{\varepsilon}(\omega_{\mathtt{i}}) = \mathbb{1}_{\mathtt{i}}$ and $\tilde{\varepsilon}(\overline{U}) = 0$.

(iii) If $\overline{U}$ is a projective bimodule then $\overline{W} := \ker \varepsilon$ is a projective bimodule.

Above, we denote by $B(\mathtt{i}, \mathtt{j})$, respectively $\overline{U}(\mathtt{i}, \mathtt{j})$, morphisms from $\mathtt{i}$ to $\mathtt{j}$ in B, respectively in $\overline{U}$.

Let Λ be a quasi-hereditary algebra. Let $E := \operatorname{Ext}^*_\Lambda(\Delta, \Delta)$ be the Ext-algebra of the direct sum of the standard modules. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the cobar construction of $\mathbb{D}E$. Then, $U := \mathcal{D}/((s^{-1}\mathbb{D}E)_{<0}, d((s^{-1}\mathbb{D}E)_{-1}))$ where s^{-1} denotes the grading shift and < 0 and -1 denote the respective degree parts of $s^{-1}\mathbb{D}E$, is a differential graded algebra satisfying the conditions of the above lemma. The resulting bocs, denoted by (A, V), has a right algebra R Morita equivalent to Λ.

5. Category $\mathcal{F}(\square)$

As the first step in the direction of showing that the right algebra of some bocs (B, W) is equivalent to the Ringel dual of the right algebra of (A, V) we identify the costandard modules in $D^b(\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A}) \cong D^b(\operatorname{mod} R)$ with the help of Lemma 3.7.

Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A, V, \mu, \varepsilon)$ be a normal directed bocs. Then $V = A \oplus \overline{V}$ as left and as right modules (but in general not as bimodules) and the restriction of the comultiplication $\mu\colon V \to V \otimes_A V$ to $\overline{V}$ is given by

$$\overline{\mu}\colon \overline{V} \to A \otimes_A \overline{V} \oplus \overline{V} \otimes_A A \oplus \overline{V} \otimes_A \overline{V}, \qquad \overline{\mu} = (\omega \otimes_A \mathrm{Id}, \mathrm{Id} \otimes_A \omega, \partial_1)^T.$$

It is well known that to a normal bocs (A, V) with surjective counit ε one can associate a tensor algebra equipped with a differential, see e.g. [BSZ09, Lemma 3.2]. Let $\mathcal{U} := A[\overline{V}] := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \overline{V}^{\otimes i}$ be the tensor algebra considered as a graded algebra via the tensor grading, i.e. $\deg A = 0$ and $\deg \overline{V} = 1$. Defining $\partial_0\colon A \to \overline{V}$ and $\partial_1\colon \overline{V} \to \overline{V} \otimes_A \overline{V}$ via

$$\partial_0 a := a\omega - \omega a, \qquad \partial_1 v = \mu(v) - \omega \otimes v - v \otimes \omega,$$

and extending by the graded Leibniz rule we obtain a differential $\partial\colon \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{U}$. Note that if $\mathfrak{A} = (A, V)$ is directed, then $\overline{V}^{\otimes_A \mathtt{n}} = 0$ and hence $\mathcal{U}$ is finite dimensional. The following proposition is well known, cf. [BSZ09, Proposition 3.5], [KKO14, Lemma 9.1].

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A, V)$ be a normal directed bocs. Let Q_1^1 be a set of generators for the projective A-A-bimodule $\overline{V}$ (i.e. elements corresponding to $e_{\mathtt{j}} \otimes_{\Bbbk} e_{\mathtt{i}}$ in a direct summand of $\overline{V}$ of the form $Ae_{\mathtt{j}} \otimes_{\Bbbk} e_{\mathtt{i}}A$; in this case we write $v\colon \mathtt{i} \to \mathtt{j}$). Write $\omega_{\mathtt{i}}$ for a generator of $Ae_{\mathtt{i}} \otimes_{\Bbbk} e_{\mathtt{i}}A$. Let ∂_0 and ∂_1 be as defined above. The category* $\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A}$ *can be*

described equivalently as the category of A-modules with morphisms

$$f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{A\otimes A^{\mathrm{op}}}\left(\bigoplus_{\mathtt{i}\in\{\mathtt{1},\dots,\mathtt{n}\}} A\omega_{\mathtt{i}}A \oplus \bigoplus_{v\in Q_1^1} AvA, \operatorname{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(M,N)\right)$$

satisfying for all $a \in A(\mathtt{i},\mathtt{j})$ the relation

$$f(\omega_{\mathtt{j}}a - a\omega_{\mathtt{i}} + \partial_0 a) = 0.$$

In this language, the composition of two morphisms f, g is given as

$$\begin{aligned}(gf)(\omega_{\mathtt{i}}) &:= g(\omega_{\mathtt{i}})f(\omega_{\mathtt{i}})\\ (gf)(v) &:= g(\omega_{\mathtt{j}})f(v) + g(v)f(\omega_{\mathtt{i}}) + \sum_{(v)} g(v_{(1)})f(v_{(2)})\end{aligned}$$

for all $\mathtt{i} \in \{\mathtt{1},\dots,\mathtt{n}\}$ and $v\colon \mathtt{i}\to\mathtt{j}$ runs through the elements of Q_1^1. Here we use Sweedler notation and write $\partial_1(v) = \sum_{(v)} v_{(1)}\otimes v_{(2)}$ with $v_{(1)}, v_{(2)} \in \overline{V}$.

In the remainder we describe the morphisms of bocs representations in this language which should be compared to the familiar presentation of morphisms of quivers with relations. Traditionally for a basic algebra $A = \Bbbk Q/I$, a morphism of representations γ is given by $\mathtt{n}$ linear maps $\gamma_{\mathtt{i}}\colon M_{\mathtt{i}} \to N_{\mathtt{i}}$ such that $af_{\mathtt{i}}(x) = f_{\mathtt{j}}(ax)$ for all $a \in A(\mathtt{i},\mathtt{j})$ and all $x \in M_{\mathtt{i}}$. Setting $g(\omega_{\mathtt{i}}) = \gamma_{\mathtt{i}}$ and $g(v) = 0$ defines a morphism of bocs representations $g\colon M \to N$. It is easy to check that this defines a dense and faithful functor $\operatorname{mod} A \to \operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A}$, which is in general not full. From now on, we will not distinguish between a morphism in $\operatorname{mod} A$ and its image in $\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A}$. Additionally to those A-linear morphisms there are in general some extra maps. In the case of a regular bocs (see Section 8 for a definition) the map with $f(\omega_{\mathtt{i}}) = 0$ for all $\mathtt{i} \in \{\mathtt{1},\dots,\mathtt{n}\}$ and $f(v) = \mathbb{1}_{\Bbbk}$ for some $v\colon \mathtt{i}\to\mathtt{j}$ defines a homorphism of bocs representations between the simple A-modules $L(\mathtt{i})$ and $L(\mathtt{j})$, i.e. a homomorphism between the corresponding standard modules over the associated right algebra, see Lemma 8.3 for the precise statement.

Using the description of Proposition 5.1, we define the object $\square_{\mathtt{i}} \in D^b(\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A})$ by

$$(\square_{\mathtt{i}})^j := \begin{cases} A\otimes_{\mathbb{L}} L(\mathtt{i}) & \text{for } j = 0,\\ \overline{V}^{\otimes_A j}\otimes_{\mathbb{L}} L(\mathtt{i}) & \text{for } j \geq 1,\\ 0 & \text{else,}\end{cases}$$

with differential given by

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\square}(\omega_{\mathtt{j}})(x\otimes\lambda) &= -\partial(x)\otimes\lambda, && \text{for all } \mathtt{j}\in\{\mathtt{1},\dots,\mathtt{n}\},\ x\in\overline{V},\\ d_{\square}(v)(x\otimes\lambda) &= v\otimes x\otimes\lambda, && \text{for all } v\in Q_1^1.\end{aligned}$$

We write $\mathbb{1}_{\mathtt{i}}$ for the element $1\otimes e_{\mathtt{i}} \in \square_{\mathtt{i}}^0 = A\otimes_{\mathbb{L}} L(\mathtt{i}) = P(\mathtt{i})$.

Lemma 5.2. $\square_{\mathtt{i}} \in D^b(\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A})$.

Proof. That $\square_{\mathtt{i}}$ is a bounded complex follows from the fact that the bocs is directed. We have to check that $d_\square$ defines a morphism in $\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A}$ which squares to 0. For the first claim note that for $a \in A(\mathtt{l},\mathtt{m})$, $x \in \overline{V}^{\otimes_A j}(\mathtt{i},\mathtt{l})$ and $\lambda \in L(\mathtt{i})$,

$$\begin{aligned} d_\square(\omega_{\mathtt{m}}a - a\omega_{\mathtt{l}} + \partial(a))(x\otimes\lambda) &= -\partial(ax)\otimes\lambda + a\partial(x)\otimes\lambda + \partial(a)x\otimes\lambda \\ &= (-\partial(ax) + a\partial(x) + \partial(a)x)\otimes\lambda = 0 \end{aligned}$$

because ∂ satisfies the graded Leibniz rule. It also squares to zero:

$$\begin{aligned} (d_\square^{j+1}d_\square^j)(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(x\otimes\lambda) &= d_\square^{j+1}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})\left(d_\square^j(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(x\otimes\lambda)\right) = d_\square^{j+1}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(-\partial(x)\otimes\lambda) \\ &= \partial^2(x)\otimes\lambda = 0 \end{aligned}$$

as ∂ also squares to zero. In the next set of equations we use Sweedler notation and write $\partial(v) = \sum_{(v)} v_{(1)}\otimes v_{(2)}$ for $v \in Q_1^1(\mathtt{l},\mathtt{m})$. Furthermore, as the part with "$\otimes\lambda$" does not change throughout, we surpress it from the notation. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (d_\square^{j+1}d_\square^j)(v)(x) &= d_\square^{j+1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(d_\square^j(v)(x)) + d_\square^{j+1}(v)(d_\square^j(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(x)) + \sum_{(v)} d_\square^{j+1}(v_{(1)})(d_\square^j(v_{(2)})(x)) \\ &= d_\square^{j+1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(v\otimes x) + d_\square^{j+1}(v)(-\partial(x)) + d_\square(v_{(1)})(v_{(2)}\otimes x) \\ &= -\partial(v\otimes x) - v\otimes\partial(x) + \partial(v)\otimes x = 0 \end{aligned}$$

as ∂ satisfies the graded Leibniz rule. □

The next lemma is a slightly strengthened form of the universal property of projective modules in $\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A}$.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $M \in \operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A}$. Let $x \in M_{\mathtt{i}}$. Then, there exists a unique morphism $s\colon P(\mathtt{i}) \to M$ satisfying $s(\omega_{\mathtt{i}})(\mathbb{1}_{\mathtt{i}}) = x$ and $s(v) = 0$ for all $v \in Q_1^1$.*

Proof. The statement is true regarding $P(\mathtt{i})$ and M as objects in $\operatorname{mod} A$. Applying the natural functor $\operatorname{mod} A \to \operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A}$ gives a morphism in $\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A}$ with the claimed properties. □

Let $M \in D^b(\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A})$. Then, as one can easily see from the description of the morphisms in $\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A}$, there is an exact functor $D^b(\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A}) \to D^b(\operatorname{mod}\mathbb{L})$ given by sending (M, d_M) to $(M, d_M(\omega))$. As $\mu(\omega) = \omega\otimes\omega$, it follows that $(d_M \circ d_M)(\omega) = d_M(\omega)\circ d_M(\omega)$, hence the functor sends complexes to complexes. Composing with the standard cohomology functor H^j yields a cohomological functor $H^j\colon D^b(\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A}) \to \operatorname{mod}\mathbb{L}$. Composing further with the projection to the $\mathtt{i}$-th component yields cohomological functors $H^j_{\mathtt{i}}\colon D^b(\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A}) \to \operatorname{mod}\Bbbk$. The next lemma shows that these functors are represented by $\square_{\mathtt{i}}[-j]$.

For an idempotent split exact category $\mathcal{E}$ a complex N is called ***homotopically projective*** if $\operatorname{Hom}_{K^b(\mathcal{E})}(N, M) = 0$ for each acyclic complex $M \in K^b(\mathcal{E})$. In this case, $\operatorname{Hom}_{K^b(\mathcal{E})}(N,-) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{D^b(\mathcal{E})}(N,-)$. Dually, a complex N is called ***homotopically injective*** if $\operatorname{Hom}_{K^b(\mathcal{E})}(M, N) = 0$ for each acyclic complex M. In this case, $\operatorname{Hom}_{K^b(\mathcal{E})}(-,N) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{D^b(\mathcal{E})}(-,N)$.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $M \in C^b(\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A})$.*

(i) $\mathrm{Hom}_{C^b(\mathrm{mod}\,\mathfrak{A})}(\square_{\mathtt{i}}, M) \cong Z^0(d_M(\omega_{\mathtt{i}})) \oplus \bigoplus_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \bigoplus_{v\in Q_1^1} \mathrm{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(\square_{\mathtt{i}}^j(s(v)), M^j(t(v)))$.

(ii) Two maps are homotopic if and only if they coincide when projected to $H^0(d_M(\omega_{\mathtt{i}}))$. *In particular,* $H^j_{\mathtt{i}}$ *is represented by* $\square_{\mathtt{i}}[-j]$.

(iii) The homotopy in (ii) *can be chosen to be a morphism of* A*-modules.*

(iv) The object $\square_{\mathtt{i}}$ *is homotopically projective.*

Proof. (i) We show that the map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{C^b(\mathrm{mod}\,\mathfrak{A})}(\square_{\mathtt{i}}, M) \to Z^0(d_M(\omega_{\mathtt{i}})) \oplus \bigoplus_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \bigoplus_{v\in Q_1^1} \mathrm{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(\square_{\mathtt{i}}^j(s(v)), M^j(t(v)))$$
$$(f^j)_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \mapsto (f^0(\omega_{\mathtt{i}})(\mathbb{1}_{\mathtt{i}}), f^j(v))_{j\in\mathbb{Z}, v\in Q_1^1}$$

is an isomorphism.

Let $f = (f^j)_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{C^b(\mathrm{mod}\,\mathfrak{A})}(\square_{\mathtt{i}}, M)$. First we show $f^0(\omega_{\mathtt{i}})(\mathbb{1}_{\mathtt{i}}) \in Z^0(d_M(\omega_{\mathtt{i}}))$:

$$\begin{aligned} d_M^0(\omega_{\mathtt{i}})(f^0(\omega_{\mathtt{i}})(\mathbb{1}_{\mathtt{i}})) &= (d_M^0 f^0)(\omega_{\mathtt{i}})(\mathbb{1}_{\mathtt{i}}) = (f^1 d_\square^0)(\omega_{\mathtt{i}})(\mathbb{1}_{\mathtt{i}}) = f^1(\omega_{\mathtt{i}})(d_\square^0(\omega_{\mathtt{i}})(\mathbb{1}_{\mathtt{i}})) \\ &= f^1(\omega_{\mathtt{i}})(-\partial(1)\otimes e_{\mathtt{i}}) = f^1(\omega_{\mathtt{i}})(0) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

as $\mathbb{1}_{\mathtt{i}} = 1 \otimes e_{\mathtt{i}}$. Next we show that $(f^0(\omega_{\mathtt{i}})(\mathbb{1}_{\mathtt{i}}), f^j(v))$ uniquely defines f. For achieving this, for all $\mathtt{m} \in \{\mathtt{1}, \ldots, \mathtt{n}\}$, $f^j(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})$ has to be specified from the given data. For $j < 0$, it is clear that $f^j(\omega_{\mathtt{m}}) = 0$ since $\square_{\mathtt{i}}^j = 0$. For $j \geq 1$, $f^j(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})$ can be defined recursively from $f^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})$: for this we compute $(f^j d_\square^{j-1})(v)(x\otimes\lambda)$ for $v \in Q_1^1(\mathtt{l},\mathtt{m})$, $x \in \overline{V}^{\otimes_{\mathbb{L}}^{j-1}}(\mathtt{i},\mathtt{l})$, and $\lambda \in L(\mathtt{i})$ in two ways. We again use Sweedler notation and write $\partial(v) = \sum_{(v)} v_{(1)} \otimes v_{(2)}$. On the one hand,

$$\begin{aligned} (\star)\quad (f^j d_\square^{j-1})(v)(x\otimes\lambda) &= f^j(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(d_\square^{j-1}(v)(x\otimes\lambda)) + f^j(v)(d_\square^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(x\otimes\lambda)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{(v)} f^j(v_{(1)}) d_\square^{j-1}(v_{(2)})(x\otimes\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

on the other hand, since $f^j d_\square^{j-1} = d_M^{j-1} f^{j-1}$, this is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} (\star\star)\qquad (d_M^{j-1} f^{j-1})(v)(x\otimes\lambda) &= d_M^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(f^{j-1}(v)(x\otimes\lambda)) + d_M^{j-1}(v)(f^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(x\otimes\lambda)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{(v)} d_M^{j-1}(v_{(1)})(f^{j-1}(v_{(2)})(x\otimes\lambda)). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the two expressions, one sees that $f^j(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(d_\square^{j-1}(v)(x\otimes\lambda)) = f^j(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(v\otimes x\otimes\lambda)$ is determined by $f^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(x\otimes\lambda)$ and summands containing $f(v)$ where $v \in Q_1^1$. For $j = 0$ note that for $a \in A(\mathtt{i},\mathtt{m})$,

$$\begin{aligned} f^0(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(a) &= (f^0(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})a)(\mathbb{1}_{\mathtt{i}}) = f^0(\omega_{\mathtt{m}}a)(\mathbb{1}_{\mathtt{i}}) = f^0(a\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(\mathbb{1}_{\mathtt{i}}) - f^0(\partial(a))(\mathbb{1}_{\mathtt{i}}) \\ &= a f^0(\omega_{\mathtt{i}})(\mathbb{1}_{\mathtt{i}}) - f^0(\partial(a))(\mathbb{1}_{\mathtt{i}}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, f is completely determined by the given data. To check that each such data defines a morphism of complexes, we have to prove that each of the f^j is indeed a morphism of bocs representations and that $(d_M^{j-1} f^{j-1})(\omega_{\mathtt{m}}) = (f^j d_\square^{j-1})(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})$ for all

$\mathtt{m} \in \{\mathtt{1}, \dots, \mathtt{n}\}$ (for the $v \in Q_1^1$ this statement is already true by the construction of $f^j(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})$).

For checking that each f^j is a morphism of bocs representations, taking into account $(\star)$ and $\partial(av) = \partial(a) \otimes v + a\partial(v)$, $f^j(\omega_{\mathtt{m}}a)(v \otimes x) = f^j(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(av \otimes x) = f^j(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(d_{\square}^{j-1}(av)(x))$ is equal to (again surpressing "$\otimes\lambda$" from the notation)

$$(f^j d_{\square}^{j-1})(av)(x) - f^j(av)d_{\square}^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(x) - f^j(\partial a)d_{\square}^{j-1}(v)(x) - \sum_{(v)} f^j(av_{(1)})d_{\square}^{j-1}(v_{(2)})(x).$$

By subtracting the term $f^j(a\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(v \otimes x) = af^j(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(v \otimes x) = af^j(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(d_{\square}^{j-1}(v))(x)$ we get $-f^j(\partial a)d_{\square}^{j-1}(v)(x)$ because all the maps involved have been defined to be A-linear. Thus, f^j is a morphism of bocs representations, see Proposition 5.1.

To check that $(d_M^{j-1}f^{j-1})(\omega_{\mathtt{m}}) = (f^j d_{\square}^{j-1})(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})$ we apply it to some $v \otimes x$ with $v \in Q_1^1(\mathtt{l}, \mathtt{m})$ and $x \in \overline{V}^{\otimes_A j-2}(\mathtt{i}, \mathtt{l})$ and use induction (the case of $j \leq 0$ being vacuously true). We write $\partial(v) = \sum_{(v)} v_{(1)} \otimes v_{(2)}$. Using the description of composition of Proposition 5.1, we get:

$$(\star\star\star) \qquad \begin{aligned}(f^j d_{\square}^{j-1})(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(v \otimes x) &= f^j(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})d_{\square}^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(v \otimes x) \\ &= \left(- \sum_{(v)} f^j(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(v_{(1)} \otimes v_{(2)} \otimes x) \right) + f^j(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(v \otimes \partial(x)).\end{aligned}$$

We compute the two summands separately. Writing $(\partial \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\overline{V}})\partial(v) = (\mathbb{1}_{\overline{V}} \otimes \partial)\partial(v) = \sum_{(v)} v_{(1)} \otimes v_{(2)} \otimes v_{(3)}$, and using $(\star)$, $(\star\star)$ we get that the first summand

$$- \sum_{(v)} f^j(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(v_{(1)} \otimes v_{(2)} \otimes x) = - \sum_{(v)} f^j(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(d_{\square}^{j-1}(v_{(1)})(v_{(2)} \otimes x))$$

is equal to

$$\Bigg(\underbrace{\sum_{(v)} -d_M^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})f^{j-1}(v_{(1)})(v_{(2)} \otimes x)}_{(\diamond)} \underbrace{- d_M^{j-1}(v_{(1)})f^{j-1}(\omega)(v_{(2)} \otimes x) - d_M^{j-1}(v_{(1)})f^{j-1}(v_{(2)})(v_{(3)} \otimes x)}_{(*)} + \underbrace{f^j(v_{(1)})d_{\square}^{j-1}(\omega)(v_{(2)} \otimes x) + f^j(v_{(1)})d_{\square}(v_{(2)})(v_{(3)} \otimes x)}_{(\dagger)} \Bigg).$$

Again using $(\star)$ and $(\star\star)$ the second summand $f^j(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(v\otimes\partial\, x) = f^j(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(d_{\square}^{j-1}(v)(\partial\, x))$ of $(\star\star\star)$ is equal to

$$\underbrace{d_M^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})f^{j-1}(v)(\partial x)}_{(\diamond)} + \underbrace{d_M^{j-1}(v)f^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(\partial x)}_{(\bullet)} - \underbrace{f^j(v)d_{\square}^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(\partial x)}_{(\circ)}$$
$$+ \Bigg(\underbrace{\sum_{(v)} d_M^{j-1}(v_{(1)})f^{j-1}(v_{(2)})(\partial x)}_{(*)} - \underbrace{f^j(v_{(1)})d_{\square}^{j-1}(v_{(2)})(\partial x)}_{(\dagger)} \Bigg).$$

The term marked $(\circ)$ vanishes as ∂ is a differential. The three terms marked with $(\dagger)$ cancel out as ∂ is a derivation:

$$\begin{aligned} &d_{\square}^{j-1}(\omega)(v_{(2)}\otimes x) + \sum_{(v_{(2)})} d_{\square}^{j-1}(v_{(2)})(v_{(3)}\otimes x) - d_{\square}^{j-1}(v_{(2)})(\partial x) \\ &= \sum_{(v_{(2)})} -v_{(2)}\otimes v_{(3)}\otimes x + v_{(2)}\otimes \partial x + \sum_{(v_{(2)})} v_{(2)}\otimes v_{(3)}\otimes x - v_{(2)}\otimes \partial x = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that all three terms marked $(*)$ start with $d_M^{j-1}(v_{(1)})$. Using $(\star)$ and $(\star\star)$ to define $f^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(v_{(2)}\otimes x)$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} &f^{j-1}(v_{(2)})(\partial x) - f^{j-1}(\omega)(v_{(2)}\otimes x) - \sum_{(v_{(2)})} f^{j-1}(v_{(2)})(v_{(3)}\otimes x) \\ &= -d_M^{j-2}(\omega)f^{j-2}(v_{(2)})(x) - d_M^{j-2}(v_{(2)})f^{j-2}(\omega)(x) - \sum_{(v_{(2)})} d_M^{j-2}(v_{(2)})f^{j-2}(v_{(3)})(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the three terms marked $(*)$ combine to give

$$\sum_{(v)} \underbrace{-d_M^{j-1}(v_{(1)})d_M^{j-2}(\omega)f^{j-2}(v_{(2)})(x)}_{(\diamond)} - \underbrace{d_M^{j-1}(v_{(1)})d_M^{j-2}(v_{(2)})f^{j-2}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(x)}_{(\bullet)}$$
$$\underbrace{-d_M^{j-1}(v_{(1)})d_M^{j-2}(v_{(2)})f^{j-2}(v_{(3)})(x)}_{(\diamond)}.$$

By inductive hypothesis, $d_M^{j-2}\circ f^{j-2} = f^{j-1}\circ d_{\square}^{j-2}$. Moreover, since d_M squares to zero, the composition defined in Proposition 5.1 implies that

$$\sum_{(v)} d_M^{j-1}(v_{(1)})d_M^{j-2}(v_{(2)}) + d_M^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})d_M^{j-2}(v) + d_M^{j-1}(v)d_M^{j-2}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}}) = 0.$$

Then, using $(\star\star)$, the term marked $(\bullet)$ adds up with the one marked $(\bullet)$ in the second summand to give:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&d_M^{j-1}(v)f^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(\partial x) - \sum_{(v)} d_M^{j-1}(v_{(1)})d_M^{j-2}(v_{(2)})f^{j-2}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(x)\\
&= d_M^{j-1}(v)f^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(\partial x) + d_M^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})d_M^{j-2}(v)f^{j-2}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(x) + d_M^{j-1}(v)d_M^{j-2}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})f^{j-2}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(x)\\
&= (d_M^{j-1}f^{j-1})(v)(\partial x) - d_M^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})f^{j-1}(v)(\partial x) - \sum_{(v)} d_M^{j-1}(v_{(1)})f^{j-1}(v_{(2)})(\partial x)\\
&+ d_M^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})d_M^{j-2}(v)f^{j-2}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(x) + d_M^{j-1}(v)d_M^{j-2}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})f^{j-2}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(x)\\
&= d_M^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})f^{j-1}(v)(\partial x) + d_M^{j-1}(v)f^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(\partial x) + \sum_{(v)} d_M^{j-1}(v_{(1)})(f^{j-1}(v_{(2)})(\partial x))\\
&- d_M^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})f^{j-1}(v)(\partial x) - \sum_{(v)} d_M^{j-1}(v_{(1)})f^{j-1}(v_{(2)})(\partial x)\\
&+ d_M^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})d_M^{j-2}(v)f^{j-2}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(x) + d_M^{j-1}(v)d_M^{j-2}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})f^{j-2}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(x)\\
&= d_M^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})d_M^{j-2}(v)f^{j-2}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(x) + d_M^{j-1}(v)(d_M^{j-2}f^{j-2})(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(x) + d_M^{j-1}(v)f^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(\partial x)\\
&= d_M^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})d_M^{j-2}(v)f^{j-2}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(x) + d_M^{j-1}(v)(d_M^{j-2}f^{j-2})(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(x) + d_M^{j-1}(v)f^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(\partial x)\\
&= d_M^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})d_M^{j-2}(v)f^{j-2}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

since

$$(d_M^{j-2}f^{j-2})(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(x) = (f^{j-1}d_{\square}^{j-1})(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(x) = -f^{j-1}(\partial x).$$

Summing up $d_M^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})d_M^{j-2}(v)f^{j-2}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(x)$ with the terms marked by $(\diamond)$, gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&d_M^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})d_M^{j-2}(v)f^{j-2}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(x) + d_M^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})f^{j-1}(v)(\partial x) - \sum_{(v)}(d_M^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})f^{j-1}(v_{(1)})(v_{(2)}\otimes x)\\
&+ d_M^{j-1}(v_{(1)})d_M^{j-2}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})f^{j-2}(v_{(2)})(x) + d_M^{j-1}(v_{(1)})d_M^{j-2}(v_{(2)})f^{j-2}(v_{(3)})(x))\\
&= d_M^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})d_M^{j-2}(v)f^{j-2}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(x) + d_M^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})f^{j-1}(v)(\partial x) - \sum_{(v)}(d_M^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})f^{j-1}(v_{(1)})(v_{(2)}\otimes x)\\
&- d_M^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})d_M^{j-2}(v_{(1)})f^{j-2}(v_{(2)})(x))
\end{aligned}
$$

where again we use the fact that $(d_M^{j-1}d_M^{j-2})(v_{(1)}) = 0$ and the composition defined by Proposition 5.1. To simplify the notation, we subtract the initial $d_M^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})$ from the

above before transforming it further:

$$\begin{aligned}
&d_M^{j-2}(v)f^{j-2}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(x) + f^{j-1}(v)(\partial x)\\
&-\sum_{(v)} f^{j-1}(v_{(1)})(v_{(2)}\otimes x) + \sum_{(v)} d_M^{j-2}(v_{(1)})f^{j-2}(v_{(2)})(x)\\
&= d_M^{j-2}(v)f^{j-2}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(x) - f^{j-1}(v)d_{\square}^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(x) + f^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})d_{\square}^{j-2}(v)(x)\\
&+ f^{j-1}(v)d_{\square}^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(x) - d_M^{j-2}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})f^{j-2}(v)(x) - d_M^{j-2}(v)(f^{j-2}(\omega)(x))\\
&= f^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(d_{\square}^{j-2}(v)(x)) - d_M^{j-2}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})f^{j-2}(v)(x),
\end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from $d_{\square}(\omega)(x) = -\partial x$ and $(\star)$, $(\star\star)$.

It shows that

$$(\star\star\star) = d_M^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})f^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(v\otimes x) - d_M^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})d_M^{j-2}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})f^{j-2}(v)(x) = d_M^{j-1}f^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(v\otimes x),$$

where the last equation follows from the fact that d_M squares to zero.

(ii)/(iii) In view of (i), it suffices to prove that f is homotopic to zero if and only if $f^0(\omega_{\mathtt{i}})(\mathbb{1}_{\mathtt{i}}) = 0$ in $H^0(d_M(\omega_{\mathtt{i}}))$. First suppose that f is homotopic to zero, that is there exist maps $s^j\colon \square_{\mathtt{i}}^j \to M^{j-1}$ such that $f^j = d_M^{j-1}s^j + s^{j+1}d_{\square}^j$ for all $j\in\mathbb{Z}$. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}
f^0(\omega_{\mathtt{i}})(\mathbb{1}_{\mathtt{i}}) &= (d_M^{-1}s^0)(\omega_{\mathtt{i}})(\mathbb{1}_{\mathtt{i}}) + (s^1d_{\square}^0)(\omega_{\mathtt{i}})(\mathbb{1}_{\mathtt{i}})\\
&= d_M^{-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{i}})s^0(\omega_{\mathtt{i}})(\mathbb{1}_{\mathtt{i}}) + s^1(\omega_{\mathtt{i}})d_{\square}^0(\omega_{\mathtt{i}})(\mathbb{1}_{\mathtt{i}})\\
&= d_M^{-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{i}})s^0(\omega_{\mathtt{i}})(\mathbb{1}_{\mathtt{i}}) + s^1(\omega_{\mathtt{i}})(0)\\
&= d_M^{-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{i}})s^0(\omega_{\mathtt{i}})(\mathbb{1}_{\mathtt{i}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $f^0(\omega_{\mathtt{i}})(\mathbb{1}_{\mathtt{i}}) = 0$ in $H^0(d_M(\omega_{\mathtt{i}}))$. Conversely, we first consider f such that $f^0(\omega_{\mathtt{i}})(\mathbb{1}_{\mathtt{i}}) = 0$ in $Z^0(d_M(\omega_{\mathtt{i}}))$ and show that in this case there exists a homotopy s between f and 0. Define the homotopy s as follows: $s(v) = 0$ for all $v\in Q_1^1$ and $0 = s^0\colon A\otimes_{\mathbb{L}} L(i)\to M^{-1}$ and inductively

$$s^{j+1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(x\otimes y\otimes\lambda) := f^j(x)(y\otimes\lambda) - d_M^{j-1}(x)s^j(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(y\otimes\lambda),$$

where $x\in\overline{V}(\mathtt{l},\mathtt{m})$ and $y\in\overline{V}^{\otimes n}(\mathtt{i},\mathtt{l})$. First we need to check that s^{j+1} defines a morphism of bocs representations. Again, we use Proposition 5.1. We omit the "$\otimes\lambda$" since it does not effect the calculation:

$$\begin{aligned}
s^{j+1}(\omega a - a\omega + \partial(a))(x\otimes y) &= s^{j+1}(\omega)(ax\otimes y) - as^{j+1}(\omega)(x\otimes y)\\
&= f^j(ax)(y) - d_M^{j-1}(ax)s^j(\omega)(y) - a\left(f^j(x)(y) - d_M^{j-1}(x)s^j(\omega)(y)\right) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

since f and d_M are A-(bi)linear.

To check the identity $f^j = s^{j+1}d_{\square}^j + d_M^{j-1}s^j$ we need to apply it to $\omega_{\mathtt{m}}$ as well as to v for $v\in\overline{V}$. For $\omega_{\mathtt{m}}$ we apply it to $x\otimes y\otimes\lambda$ where $x\in\overline{V}(\mathtt{l},\mathtt{m})$ and $y\in\overline{V}^{\otimes n}(\mathtt{i},\mathtt{l})$. Using Sweedler notation, we write $\partial x = \sum_{(x)} x_{(1)}\otimes x_{(2)}$. We compute each of the summands of

$$(s^{j+1}d_{\square}^j + d_M^{j-1}s^j)(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(x\otimes y\otimes\lambda) = s^{j+1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})d_{\square}^j(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(x\otimes y\otimes\lambda) + d_M^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})s^j(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(x\otimes y\otimes\lambda)$$

separately. To save some space and make the equations more readable we omit the "$\otimes\lambda$" since it does not change throughout the whole calculation. The first summand is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
&s^{j+1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})d^j_{\square}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(x\otimes y)\\
&=\left(-\sum_{(x)} s^{j+1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(x_{(1)}\otimes x_{(2)}\otimes y)\right)+s^{j+1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(x\otimes\partial(y))\\
&=\left(-\sum_{(x)} f^j(x_{(1)})(x_{(2)}\otimes y)+d_M^{j-1}(x_{(1)})s^j(\omega)(x_{(2)}\otimes y)\right)\\
&+s^{j+1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(x\otimes\partial(y))\\
&=\left(-\sum_{(x)} f^j(x_{(1)})(x_{(2)}\otimes y)+d_M^{j-1}(x_{(1)})\left(f^{j-1}(x_{(2)})(y)-d_M^{j-2}(x_{(2)})s^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(y)\right)\right)\\
&+s^{j+1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(x\otimes\partial(y))\\
&=\Big(\underbrace{-\sum_{(x)} f^j(x_{(1)})(x_{(2)}\otimes y)}_{(**)}+\underbrace{d_M^{j-1}(x_{(1)})f^{j-1}(x_{(2)})(y)}_{(*)}-\underbrace{d_M^{j-1}(x_{(1)})d_M^{j-2}(x_{(2)})s^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(y)}_{(\dagger)}\Big)\\
&+\underbrace{s^{j+1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(x\otimes\partial y)}_{(\diamond)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

The second summand equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_M^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})s^j(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(x\otimes y)&=d_M^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})\left(f^{j-1}(x)(y)-d_M^{j-2}(x)s^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(y)\right)\\
&=\underbrace{d_M^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})f^{j-1}(x)(y)}_{(*)}-\underbrace{d_M^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})d_M^{j-2}(x)s^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(y)}_{(\dagger)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

As d_M squares to zero, the two terms marked with $(\dagger)$ sum up to

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\dagger)&=-(d_M^{j-1}d_M^{j-2})(x)s^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(y)+d_M^{j-1}(x)d_M^{j-2}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})s^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(y)\\
&=d_M^{j-1}(x)d_M^{j-2}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})s^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(y).
\end{aligned}
$$

Since f is a morphism of complexes, the two terms marked with $(*)$ combine to give

$$
\begin{aligned}
(*)&=(d_M^{j-1}f^{j-1})(x)(y)-d_M^{j-1}(x)f^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(y)\\
&=\underbrace{(f^jd^{j-1}_{\square})(x)(y)}_{(**)}-\underbrace{d_M^{j-1}(x)f^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(y)}_{(\ddagger)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

By induction, the result of $(\dagger)$ combines with $(\ddagger)$ to give

$$
(\bullet)=-d_M^{j-1}(x)s^j(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})d^{j-1}_{\square}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(y)=d_M^{j-1}(x)s^j(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(\partial y).
$$

The two terms marked with $(**)$ combine to give

$$\begin{aligned}(**) &= f^j(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})d_{\square}^{j-1}(x)(y) + f^j(x)d_{\square}^{j-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(y)\\ &= f^j(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(x\otimes y) + \underbrace{f^j(x)(-\partial(y))}_{(\diamond)}.\end{aligned}$$

The terms marked with $(\diamond)$ and $(\bullet)$ cancel off by definition of s^{j+1} yielding $f^j(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(x\otimes y)$ as the final result.

Since $s^j(v)=0$, applying $s^{j+1}d_{\square}^j + d_M^{j-1}s^j$ to $v\in Q_1^1(\mathtt{l},\mathtt{m})$ gives:

$$\begin{aligned}(s^{j+1}d_{\square}^j + d_M^{j-1}s^j)(v)(y\otimes\lambda) &= s^{j+1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})d_{\square}^j(v)(y\otimes\lambda) + d_M^{j-1}(v)s^j(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(y\otimes\lambda)\\ &= f^j(v)(y\otimes\lambda) - d_M^{j-1}(v)s^j(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(y\otimes\lambda) + d_M^{j-1}(v)s^j(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(y\otimes\lambda)\\ &= f^j(v)(y\otimes\lambda).\end{aligned}$$

In the general case, let $f^0(\omega_{\mathtt{i}})(\mathbb{1}_{\mathtt{i}}) = d_M^{-1}(\omega_{\mathtt{i}})(x)$. Then, by Lemma 5.3, there exists a morphism s^0 with $s^0(\omega_{\mathtt{i}})(\mathbb{1}_{\mathtt{i}})=x$. Then, the morphism of complexes g with $g^0 = f^0 - d_M^{-1}s^0$ and $g^j = f^j$, otherwise, is a morphism of complexes satisfying $g^0(\omega_{\mathtt{i}})(\mathbb{1}_{\mathtt{i}})=0$. Thus, it is null-homotopic by what we have shown so far. Thus, also f is null-homotopic where the previous null-homotopy is adjusted by s^0. Observing that s^0 as well as the s^j constructed before can be chosen to satisfy $s^j(v)=0$ for all $v\in Q_1^1$, statement (iii) follows.

(iv) Let M be acyclic, i.e. let there exist exact sequences $0\to D^j\to M^{j+1}\to D^{j+1}\to 0$ in $\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A}$ for each $j\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that the composition $d_M^j\colon M^j\to D^j\to M^{j+1}$. By the description of the exact structure on $\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A}$, cf. [KKO14, Section 9]), this means that the sequences $0\to D^j_{\mathtt{i}}\to M^{j+1}_{\mathtt{i}}\to D^{j+1}_{\mathtt{i}}\to 0$ induced by applying $\omega_{\mathtt{i}}$ to the maps are split exact. In particular, $H^0(d_M(\omega_{\mathtt{i}}))=0$. Since $\operatorname{Hom}_{K^b(\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A})}(\square_{\mathtt{i}},M)\cong H^0(d_M(\omega_{\mathtt{i}}))$, it follows that $\square_{\mathtt{i}}$ is homotopically projective. □

Applying the $\Bbbk$-duality $\mathbb{D}$ on $\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A}$, which obviously extends to $D^b(\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A})$, we obtain a dual statement.

Corollary 5.5. *Let* $\lozenge_{\mathtt{i}} := \mathbb{D}(\square_{\mathtt{i}}^{\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{op}}})$. *Then* $\operatorname{Hom}_{K^b(\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A})}(M,\lozenge_{\mathtt{i}}) = \mathbb{D}H^0(d_M(\omega_{\mathtt{i}}))$. *In particular,* $\lozenge_{\mathtt{i}}$ *is a homotopically injective object in* $D^b(\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A})$.

We are now ready to identify the costandard modules in the bocs language.

Proposition 5.6. *Let* $\mathfrak{A}$ *be a normal directed bocs. Let* R *be its right algebra. Let* $\mathcal{F}(\lozenge)$ *be the extension closure of the* $\lozenge_{\mathtt{i}}$ *in* $D^b(\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A})$. *Then* $\mathcal{F}(\lozenge)\cong\mathcal{F}(\nabla_R)$.

Proof. Recall that in the description of $D^b(\operatorname{mod}R)$ as $D^b(\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A})$ the standard modules for R correspond to the simple modules $L(\mathtt{i})$ in $\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A}$ for $\mathtt{i}\in\{\mathtt{1},\dots,\mathtt{n}\}$. By the characterisation of the costandard modules given in Lemma 3.7, we thus have to check that

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{D^b(\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A})}(L(\mathtt{j}),\lozenge_{\mathtt{i}}[s]) \cong \begin{cases}\Bbbk & \text{if } s=0,\mathtt{j}=\mathtt{i},\\ 0 & \text{else.}\end{cases}$$

But this statement is true since the latter is just $\mathbb{D}H^0(d_{L(\mathtt{j})[-s]}(\omega_{\mathtt{i}}))$. □

From now on we work in the category $\mathcal{F}(\square)$, which seems easier to handle. The duality $\mathbb{D}$ ensures that the results transfer to $\mathcal{F}(\lozenge)$.

Recall that for a morphism of complexes $f\colon M \to N$ its mapping cone $\operatorname{cone}(f)$ is defined by $(\operatorname{cone}(f))^j = N^j \oplus M^{j-1}$ with differential given by $d^j_{\operatorname{cone}(f)} = \begin{pmatrix} d^j_N & (-1)^j f^j \\ 0 & d^{j-1}_M \end{pmatrix}$.

Theorem 5.7. *Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A, V)$ be a directed normal bocs.*

(i) The category $\mathcal{F}(\square)$ can equivalently be described as those objects $M \in D^b(\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A})$ which, regarded as complexes, have a "filtration"

$$0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_{r-1} \subset M_r = M$$

such that $M_q \cong \operatorname{cone}(g_q)$ for fixed $g_q\colon \square_{\mathtt{i}_q}[-1] \to M_{q-1}$ for $q = 1, \dots, r$ and $\mathtt{i}_q \in \{\mathtt{1}, \dots, \mathtt{n}\}$. In this case, the number r is an invariant of M. Furthermore, the morphism g_q can be chosen to be a morphism of complexes of A-modules.

(ii) The category $\mathcal{F}(\square)$ can equivalently be described as the full subcategory with objects isomorphic to objects N with

$$N^j = \begin{cases} A \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} Y & \text{if } j = 0, \\ \overline{V}^{\otimes_A j} \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} Y & \text{if } j > 0, \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

for an $\mathbb{L}$-module Y with differential given by $d_N(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(x \otimes y) = -\partial(x) \otimes y + (-1)^j x \otimes c_Y(y)$ for $x \in \overline{V}^{\otimes_A j}$, $y \in Y$ for some $\mathbb{L}$-linear map $c_Y\colon Y \to \overline{V} \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} Y$ and $d_N(v)(x \otimes y) = v \otimes x \otimes y$. Furthermore, the pair (Y, c_Y) can be chosen in such a way that Y has a filtration $0 = Y_0 \subset Y_1 \subset \cdots \subset Y_{r-1} \subset Y_r = Y$ with $c_Y(Y_q) \subseteq \overline{V} \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} Y_{q-1}$ for $q = 1, \dots, r$.

Proof. (i) Defining the category of objects having such filtration, it is shown in [MS16, Lemma 4.2] that this category is closed under extensions and hence it coincides with $\mathcal{F}(\square)$. That the g_q can be chosen to belong to the class of A-module homomorphisms follows from the fact that by Lemma 5.4 each homotopy class of morphisms $\square_{\mathtt{i}_q} \to M_{q-1}[1]$ contains an A-module homomorphism and that the cones of homotopic maps are isomorphic. That the number r does not depend on the choice of filtration follows from the fact that the category $\mathcal{F}(\square)^{\mathrm{op}}$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{F}(\nabla)$ by Proposition 5.6 and hence the filtration multiplicities are invariant, see e.g. [MS16, Corollary 6.4, Proposition 5.11].

(ii) We define N inductively from M_q by induction on q. Suppose that N_{q-1} corresponding to M_{q-1} was already defined as

$$N^j_{q-1} = \begin{cases} A \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} Y_{q-1} & \text{for } j = 0, \\ \overline{V}^{\otimes_A j} \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} Y_{q-1} & \text{if } j > 0, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

By assumption, there exists $g_q\colon \square_{i_q}[-1] \to M_{q-1}$. By Lemma 5.4 (iii), we can assume that g_q is A-linear. Put $N_q := \mathrm{cone}(g_q)$. Then, by definition of the cone,

$$(N_q)^j = \begin{cases} (A \otimes Y_{q-1}) \oplus (A \otimes L(\mathtt{i}_q)) & \text{for } j = 0, \\ (\overline{V}^{\otimes_A j} \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} Y_{q-1}) \oplus (\overline{V}^{\otimes j} \otimes L(\mathtt{i}_q)) & \text{for } j > 0, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Set $Y_q := Y_{q-1} \oplus L(\mathtt{i}_q)$. The differential on N_q is given by $d^j_{N_q} = \begin{pmatrix} d^j_{N_{q-1}} & (-1)^j g^j_q \\ 0 & d^j_{\square_{\mathtt{i}_q}} \end{pmatrix}$. Observe that since g^j_q is chosen to be an A-linear map, i.e. $g^j_q(v) = 0$, one has

$$d^j_{N_q}(v) = \begin{pmatrix} d^j_{N_{q-1}}(v) & 0 \\ 0 & d^j_{\square_{\mathtt{i}_q}}(v) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, by induction $d^j_{N_q}(v)(x \otimes y) = v \otimes x \otimes y$ as this holds for $\square_{\mathtt{i}}$ and $d^j_{N_q}(v)$ has block diagonal shape. Furthermore, by the recursive definition of the A-linear representative of g^j_q in the proof of Lemma 5.4 (i) (taking into account that the g_q are A-module homomorphisms), $g^j_q(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(x \otimes \lambda) = x \otimes c_{N,q}(\lambda)$ for some $c_{N,q}\colon L(\mathtt{i}_q) \to \overline{V} \otimes Y_{q-1}$. Indeed, let $c_{N,q}(\lambda e_{\mathtt{i}_q}) = \lambda g^0_q(\omega_{\mathtt{i}_q})(\mathbb{1}_{\mathtt{i}_q})$. Then, for $j = 0$, $g^0_q(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(x \otimes e_{\mathtt{i}_q}) = x g^0_q(\omega_{\mathtt{i}_q})(\mathbb{1}_{\mathtt{i}_q}) = x c_{N,q}(e_{\mathtt{i}_q})$ and for $j \geq 1$, $g^j_q(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(v \otimes x \otimes \lambda) = d^{j-1}_{N_{q-1}}(v) g^{j-1}_q(\omega_{\mathtt{l}})(x \otimes \lambda) = d^{j-1}_{N_{q-1}}(v)(x \otimes c_{N,q}(\lambda)) = v \otimes x \otimes c_{N,q}(\lambda)$ by induction and the form of $d^j_{N_q}$ (we use $(\star)$, $(\star\star)$ and the fact that $g^j_{q-1}(v) = 0$). Defining $c_{N_q}\colon Y_q \to \overline{V} \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} Y_{q-1}$ by $c_{N_q}|_{Y_{q-1}} := c_{N_{q-1}}$ and $c_{N_q}|_{L(\mathtt{i}_q)} := c_{N,q}$ yields the desired map. Indeed, it remains to check the first formula

$$\begin{aligned} d^j_{N_q}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(x \otimes (y + \lambda)) &= d^j_{N_{q-1}}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(x \otimes y) + (-1)^j g^j_q(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(x \otimes \lambda) + d^j_{\square_{\mathtt{i}_q}}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(x \otimes \lambda) \\ &= -\partial(x) \otimes y + (-1)^j x \otimes c_{N_{q-1}}(y) + (-1)^j g^j_q(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(x \otimes \lambda) - \partial(x) \otimes \lambda \\ &= -\partial(x) \otimes y + (-1)^j x \otimes c_{N_{q-1}}(y) + (-1)^j x \otimes c_{N,q}(\lambda) - \partial(x) \otimes \lambda \\ &= -\partial(x) \otimes (y + \lambda) + (-1)^j x \otimes c_{N_q}(y + \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

□

We need the following easy exercise in homological algebra.

Lemma 5.8. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an additive category. Let $K^b(\mathcal{A})$ be the homotopy category of $\mathcal{A}$. Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a morphism in $K^b(\mathcal{A})$. Let $N \in K^b(\mathcal{A})$.*

(i) Every morphism $\alpha\colon \mathrm{cone}(f) \to N$ can be written in the form (g, h) where $g\colon Y \to N$ is a morphism of complexes and h is a homotopy between gf and 0. Conversely, every such pair defines a morphism $\mathrm{cone}(f) \to N$.

(ii) Suppose that $g, \tilde{g}\colon Y \to N$ are homotopic with homotopy $\tilde{h}$. Then, (g, h) and $(\tilde{g}, h - \tilde{h}f)$ are homotopic via the homotopy $(\tilde{h}, 0)$.

(iii) Suppose that $(g, h), (g, \hat{h})\colon \mathrm{cone}(f) \to N$ are two morphisms of complexes. Then $h - \hat{h}\colon X[1] \to N$ is a morphism of complexes.

(iv) Let g, h and $\hat{h}$ be as in (ii). Suppose that $h - \hat{h}$ is homotopic to η via a homotopy s. Then, (g, h) is homotopic to $(g, \hat{h} + \eta)$ via the homotopy $(0, s)$.

Proof. (i) Here we use the description of $C := \operatorname{cone}(f)$ as

$$C^j = Y^j \oplus X^{j+1}$$

with differential given by $d_C^j = \begin{pmatrix} d_Y^j & f^{j+1} \\ 0 & -d_X^{j+1} \end{pmatrix}$. Let $\alpha\colon C \to N$ be an arbitrary morphism of complexes. Since $C^j = Y^j \oplus X^{j+1}$, $\alpha^j = (g^j, h^{j+1})$ for some $g^j\colon X^j \to N^j$ and $h^{j+1}\colon Y^{j+1} \to N^j$. Since α is a morphism of complexes $d_N^j \alpha^j = \alpha^{j+1} d_C^j$ which is equivalent to

$$(d_N^j g^j, d_N^j h^{j+1}) = (g^{j+1}, h^{j+2}) \begin{pmatrix} d_Y^j & f^{j+1} \\ 0 & -d_X^{j+1} \end{pmatrix} = (g^{j+1} d_Y^j, g^{j+1} f^{j+1} - h^{j+2} d_X^{j+1}).$$

Equality in the first component means that $g = (g^j)_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\colon Y \to N$ is a morphism of complexes. Equality in the second component means that $h = (h^j)_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a homotopy between gf and 0.

(ii) We compute

$$\begin{aligned} d_N^{j-1}(\tilde{h}^j, 0) + (\tilde{h}^{j+1}, 0) d_C^j &= (d_N^{j-1}\tilde{h}^j, 0) + (\tilde{h}^{j+1}, 0) \begin{pmatrix} d_Y^j & f^{j+1} \\ 0 & -d_X^{j+1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (d_N^{j-1}\tilde{h}^j + \tilde{h}^{j+1} d_Y^j, \tilde{h}^{j+1} f^{j+1}) \\ &= (g^j - \tilde{g}^j, h^{j+1} - (h^{j+1} - \tilde{h}^{j+1} f^{j+1})). \end{aligned}$$

The claim follows.

(iii) Recall that the differential on $X[1]$ is given by $-d_X$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} d_N^j(h^{j+1} - \hat{h}^{j+1}) - (h^{j+2} - \hat{h}^{j+2}) d_{X[1]}^j &= d_N^j(h^{j+1} - \hat{h}^{j+1}) + (h^{j+2} - \hat{h}^{j+2}) d_X^{j+1} \\ &= g^{j+1} f^{j+1} - g^{j+1} f^{j+1} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

(iv) That $h - \hat{h}$ is homotopic to η via a homotopy s is equivalent to $d_N^{j-1} s^j + s^{j+1} d_{X[1]}^j = h^{j+1} - \hat{h}^{j+1} - \eta^j$. This follows from

$$\begin{aligned} d_N^{j-1}(0, s^j) + (0, s^{j+1}) \begin{pmatrix} d_Y^j & f^{j+1} \\ 0 & -d_X^{j+1} \end{pmatrix} &= (0, d_N^{j-1} s^j - s^{j+1} d_X^{j+1}) \\ &= (0, d_N^{j-1} s^j + s^{j+1} d_{X[1]}^j) \\ &= (0, h - \hat{h} - \eta). \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 5.9. *Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A, V, \mu, \varepsilon)$ be a directed normal bocs.*

(i) We define category $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{A})$ via:

objects: *pairs (Y, c_Y) where Y is an $\mathbb{L}$-module and $c_Y\colon Y \to \overline{V} \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} Y$ is an $\mathbb{L}$-linear map satisfying*

(†) $$(\partial_1 \otimes \mathbb{1}_Y) c_Y + (m_{\overline{V}} \otimes \mathbb{1}_Y)(\mathbb{1}_{\overline{V}} \otimes c_Y) c_Y = 0$$

such that there is a filtration $(Y_q)_{q=1,\dots,r}$ with $Y_q/Y_{q-1} \cong L(\mathtt{i}_q)$ and $c_Y|_{Y_q}\colon Y_q \to \overline{V} \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} Y_{q-1}$.

morphisms: *A morphism* $(Y, c_Y) \to (Z, c_Z)$ *is given by a map* $c_f \colon Y \to A \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} Z$ *satisfying*

$$(\dagger\dagger) \qquad -(m_l \otimes \mathbb{1}_Z)(\mathbb{1}_{\overline{V}} \otimes c_Z)c_f + (\partial_0 \otimes \mathbb{1}_Z)c_f + (m_r \otimes \mathbb{1}_Z)(\mathbb{1}_{\overline{V}} \otimes c_f)c_Y = 0.$$

composition: *Given* $c_g \colon (Y, c_Y) \to (Z, c_Z)$ *and* $c_f \colon (X, c_X) \to (Y, c_Y)$*, the map corresponding to their composition is obtained by* $c_{gf} := (m_A \otimes \mathbb{1}_Z)(\mathbb{1}_A \otimes c_g)c_f$*.*

unit: $c_{\mathbb{1}} \colon Y \to A \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} Y$ *is given by* $y \mapsto 1 \otimes y$*.*

(ii) The categories $\mathcal{F}(\square)$ *and* $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{A})$ *are equivalent.*

Proof. We only prove (ii), (i) follows by transport of structure. We define a functor $\Xi \colon \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{A}) \to \mathcal{F}(\square)$. The pair (Y, c_Y) is sent to the complex $\Xi(Y)$ with

$$(\Xi(Y))^j := \begin{cases} A \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} Y & \text{for } j = 0 \\ \overline{V}^{\otimes_A j} \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} Y & \text{for } j > 0 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

and differential given by $d^j_{\Xi(Y)}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(x \otimes y) = -\partial(x) \otimes y + (-1)^j x \otimes c_Y(y)$ and $d^j_{\Xi(Y)}(v)(x \otimes y) = v \otimes x \otimes y$ for $v \in Q_1^1(\mathtt{m}, \mathtt{l})$, $x \in \overline{V}^{\otimes_A j}(\mathtt{i}, \mathtt{m})$, and $y \in Y$. Furthermore, for a morphism $c_f \colon Y \to A \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} Z$ define $\Xi(c_f)$ to be the A-linear map of complexes with $\Xi(c_f)^j(x \otimes y) := (\mathbb{1}_{\overline{V}^{\otimes_A (j-1)}} \otimes m_r \otimes \mathbb{1}_Z)(x \otimes_A c_f(y))$.

We first prove that this functor is well-defined. For this, we first show that $d^j_{\Xi(Y)}$ is a morphism in $\operatorname{mod} \mathfrak{A}$. Indeed, for $a \in A(\mathtt{l}, \mathtt{m})$,

$$\begin{aligned} &d^j_{\Xi(Y)}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}} a - a\omega_{\mathtt{l}} + \partial a)(x \otimes y) \\ &\quad = -\partial(ax) \otimes y + (-1)^j ax \otimes c_Y(y) + a\partial(x) \otimes y - (-1)^j ax \otimes c_Y(y) + \partial(a) \otimes x \otimes y = 0 \end{aligned}$$

by the Leibniz rule for ∂. To prove that $d_{\Xi(Y)}$ defines a differential, write $c_Y(y) = \sum_{(y)} v_{(1)} \otimes y_{(2)}$ with $v_{(1)} \in \overline{V}$ and $y_{(2)} \in Y$. Then,

$$(d^{j+1}_{\Xi(Y)} d^j_{\Xi(Y)})(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(x \otimes y) = d^{j+1}_{\Xi(Y)}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(-\partial(x) \otimes y + (-1)^j x \otimes c_Y(y)).$$

The first summand is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} d^{j+1}_{\Xi(Y)}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(-\partial(x) \otimes y) &= \partial^2(x) \otimes y - (-1)^{j+1} \partial(x) \otimes c_Y(y) \\ &= (-1)^{j+2} \partial(x) \otimes c_Y(y). \end{aligned}$$

The second summand equals

$$\begin{aligned}
&d^{j+1}_{\Xi(Y)}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})((-1)^j x\otimes c_Y(y))\\
&=\sum_{(y)}(-1)^j(-\partial(x\otimes v_{(1)})\otimes y_{(2)}+(-1)^{2j+1}x\otimes v_{(1)}\otimes c_Y(y_{(2)}))\\
&=\sum_{(y)}(-1)^{j+1}\partial(x)\otimes v_{(1)}\otimes y_{(2)}+(-1)^{2j+1}x\otimes\partial(v_{(1)})\otimes y_{(2)}+(-1)^{2j+1}x\otimes v_{(1)}\otimes c_Y(y_{(2)})\\
&=\sum_{(y)}(-1)^{j+1}\partial(x)\otimes v_{(1)}\otimes y_{(2)}=(-1)^{j+1}\partial(x)\otimes c_Y(y).
\end{aligned}$$

The third equality follows from (†). Thus, the two summands cancel each other.

Now we check that

- d_N is a differential if and only if condition (†) is satisfied,
- f is a morphism of complexes if and only if c_f satisfies (††).

Writing $\partial(v)=\sum_{(v)}v_{(1)}\otimes v_{(2)}$ with $v_{(1)},v_{(2)}\in\overline{V}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&(d^{j+1}_{\Xi(Y)}d^{j}_{\Xi(Y)})(v)(x\otimes y)\\
&=d^{j+1}_{\Xi(Y)}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(v\otimes x\otimes y)+d^{j+1}_{\Xi(Y)}(v)(-\partial(x)\otimes y+(-1)^j x\otimes c_Y(y))+\sum_{(v)}d^{j+1}_{\Xi(Y)}(v_{(1)})d^{j}_{\Xi(Y)}(v_{(2)})(x\otimes y).
\end{aligned}$$

The first summand equals

$$d^{j+1}_{\Xi(Y)}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(v\otimes x\otimes y)=-\partial(v\otimes x)\otimes y+(-1)^{j+1}(v\otimes x\otimes c_Y(y)),$$

the second

$$d^{j+1}_{\Xi(Y)}(v)(-\partial(x)\otimes y+(-1)^j x\otimes c_Y(y))=-v\otimes\partial(x)\otimes y+(-1)^j v\otimes x\otimes c_Y(y),$$

the third

$$d^{j+1}_{\Xi(Y)}(v_{(1)})d^{j}_{\Xi(M)}(v_{(2)})(x\otimes y)=\partial(v)\otimes x\otimes y.$$

The respective first parts of each of these three summands cancel each other as ∂ satisfies the graded Leibniz rule. The remaining parts of the first and the second summand also cancel each other.

As $-\otimes_{\mathbb{L}}-$ is an exact functor, the filtration $(Y_q)_{q=1,\dots,r}$ induces a filtration of $\Xi(Y)$ in $C^b(\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A})$. The subquotient $\Xi(Y_q)/\Xi(Y_{q-1})$ has $\overline{V}^{\otimes_A j}\otimes_{\mathbb{L}}Y_q/Y_{q-1}$ in degree $j\geq 0$. Since $c_Y(Y_q)\subset\overline{V}\otimes Y_{q-1}$, the differential on the subquotient is given by $d(\omega)(x\otimes y)=-\partial(x)\otimes y$, $d(v)(x\otimes y)=x\otimes x\otimes y$, i.e. $Y_q/Y_{q-1}\simeq\square_{i_q}$. This shows that the functor is well-defined on objects.

For checking well-definedness on morphisms write $c_Y(y)=\sum_{(y)}v_{(1)}\otimes y_{(2)}$ as well as $c_f(y)=\sum_{(y)}a_{(1)}\otimes z_{(2)}$ with $v_{(1)}\in\overline{V}$, $a_{(1)}\in A$, $y_{(2)}\in Y$, and $z_{(2)}\in Z$. Then, for a

morphism $c_f\colon Y \to A \otimes Z$,

$$\begin{aligned}(\Xi(c_f)^{j+1}d^j_{\Xi(Y)})(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(x\otimes y) &= \Xi(c_f)^{j+1}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(-\partial(x)\otimes y + (-1)^j x\otimes c_Y(y))\\ &= \underbrace{-\partial(x)a_{(1)}\otimes z_{(2)}}_{(\diamond)} + \underbrace{\sum_{(y)}(-1)^j(\mathbb{1}_{\overline{V}^{\otimes_A(j-1)}}\otimes m_r\otimes \mathbb{1}_Z)x\otimes v_{(1)}\otimes c_f(y_{(2)})}_{(*)}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}(d^j_{\Xi(Z)}\Xi(c_f)^j)(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(x\otimes y) &= \sum_{(y)} d_{\Xi(Z)}(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(xa_{(1)}\otimes z_{(2)})\\ &= \sum_{(y)} -\partial(xa_{(1)})\otimes z_{(2)} + (-1)^j xa_{(1)}\otimes c_Y(z_{(2)})\\ &= \underbrace{-\partial(x)\circ c_f(y)}_{(\diamond)} + \underbrace{\sum_{(y)}(-1)^{j+1}x\otimes\partial(a_{(1)})\otimes z_{(2)} + (-1)^j xa_{(1)}\otimes c_Y(z_{(2)})}_{(*)}.\end{aligned}$$

The two parts labelled $(\diamond)$ are equal, the parts labelled $(*)$ are equal by the defining property of c_f to be a morphism in $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{A})$. Furthermore note that,

$$\begin{aligned}(\Xi(c_f)^{j+1}d^j_{\Xi(Y)})(v)(x\otimes y) &= \Xi(c_f)(\omega_{\mathtt{m}})(v\otimes x\otimes y)\\ &= v\otimes x\otimes c_f(y)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(d^j_{\Xi(Z)}\Xi(c_f))(v)(x\otimes y) &= d_{\Xi(Z)}(v)(x\otimes c_f(y))\\ &= v\otimes x\otimes c_f(y).\end{aligned}$$

To check that Ξ is a functor let $c_f\colon (X,c_X)\to(Y,c_Y)$ and $c_g\colon (Y,c_Y)\to(Z,c_Z)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\Xi(c_g)^j\circ\Xi(c_f)^j &= (\mathbb{1}_{\overline{V}^{\otimes_A(j-1)}}\otimes m_r\otimes\mathbb{1}_Z)(\mathbb{1}_{\overline{V}^{\otimes_A j}}\otimes c_g)(\mathbb{1}_{\overline{V}^{\otimes_A(j-1)}}\otimes m_r\otimes\mathbb{1}_Y)(\mathbb{1}_{\overline{V}^{\otimes_A j}}\otimes c_f)\\ &= (\mathbb{1}_{\overline{V}^{\otimes_A(j-1)}}\otimes m_r\otimes\mathbb{1}_Z)(\mathbb{1}_{\overline{V}^{\otimes_A(j-1)}}\otimes m_r\otimes\mathbb{1}_Z)(\mathbb{1}_{\overline{V}^{\otimes_A j}\otimes_{\mathbb{L}}A}\otimes c_g)(1_{\overline{V}^{\otimes_A j}}\otimes c_f)\\ &= (\mathbb{1}_{\overline{V}^{\otimes_A(j-1)}}\otimes m_r\otimes\mathbb{1}_Z)(1_{\overline{V}^{\otimes_A j}}\otimes m_A\otimes\mathbb{1}_Z)(\mathbb{1}_{\overline{V}^{\otimes_A j}\otimes_{\mathbb{L}}A}\otimes c_g)(1_{\overline{V}^{\otimes_A j}}\otimes c_f)\\ &= \Xi(c_{gf})^j\end{aligned}$$

and for $x\in\overline{V}^{\otimes_A j}$, $y\in Y$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Xi(c_{\mathbb{1}})(x\otimes y) &= (\mathbb{1}_{\overline{V}^{\otimes_A(j-1)}}\otimes m_r\otimes\mathbb{1}_Z)(x\otimes c_{\mathbb{1}}(y)) \quad = (\mathbb{1}_{\overline{V}^{\otimes_A(j-1)}}\otimes m_r\otimes\mathbb{1}_Z)(x\otimes 1\otimes y)\\ &= x\otimes y.\end{aligned}$$

To check that Ξ is an equivalence, note that by Theorem 5.7, for each $M\in D^b(\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A})$ there is an isomorphic $N=\Xi(Y)$ with a map $c_Y\colon Y\to\overline{V}\otimes_{\mathbb{L}}Y$. Then, the previous calculations show that c_Y satisfies the defining property of (Y,c_Y) to be an object of $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{A})$ as d_N is a differential.

That Ξ is faithful follows from the fact that $c_f(y)=\Xi(c_f)^0(1\otimes y)$. Thus, $\Xi(c_f)=0$ implies that $c_f=0$. We are left with proving that Ξ is full. For this let $f=(f^j)_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}$

be an arbitrary morphism $\Xi(Y) \to \Xi(Z)$. We prove by induction on the length r of the filtration that f is represented by an A-linear map. For $r = 1$ this is the content of Lemma 5.4. For the induction step, note that $\Xi(Y_q)$ is constructed as the cone of a morphism $g_q \colon \square_{\mathtt{i}_q}[-1] \to \Xi(Y_{q-1})$. Thus, by the Lemma 5.8, each morphism $\alpha \colon \Xi(Y_q) \to \Xi(Z)$ can be represented by a pair (g, h) where $g \colon \Xi(Y_{q-1}) \to \Xi(Z)$ is a morphism of complexes and $h \colon \square_{i_q}[-1] \to \Xi(Z)$ is a homotopy between gg_q and 0. By induction, g is homotopic to an A-linear $\tilde{g}$ via a homotopy $\tilde{h}$. Thus, (g, h) is homotopic to $(\tilde{g}, h - \tilde{h}g_q)$. Let $\hat{h}$ be an A-linear homotopy between $\tilde{g}g_q$ and 0 which can be chosen by Lemma 5.4. Then, by the foregoing lemma, $(\tilde{g}, \hat{h})$ is a morphism $\Xi(Y_q) \to \Xi(Z)$ as well. Again invoking the foregoing lemma, $h - \tilde{h}g_q - \hat{h}$ is a morphism of complexes. By Lemma 5.4, there exists an A-linear map η homotopic to $h - \tilde{h}g_q - \hat{h}$. Furthermore the previous lemma also implies that $(\tilde{g}, h - \tilde{h}g_q)$ is homotopic to $(\tilde{g}, \hat{h} + \eta)$, which is A-linear.

We have to show that the f^j can be chosen (within their homotopy class) to satisfy $f^j(x \otimes y) = x \otimes_A c_f(y)$. We proceed by induction. Let $c_f(y) := f^0(1 \otimes y)$. Then, by A-linearity of f, $f^0(a \otimes y) = ac_f(y)$. Recalling the recursive definition of f^j in the proof of Lemma 5.4, it follows that $f^j(v \otimes x \otimes y) = v \otimes x \otimes c_f(y)$ as f^j is A-linear and $d_{\Xi(Z)}(v)(x \otimes z) = v \otimes x \otimes z$. Defining c_f in this way, the foregoing calculations show that in order for f to be a morphism of complexes, the defining property for c_f has to be satisfied. □

6. Dualising $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{A})$

In this section, we dualise the definition of $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{A})$ by replacing the map $c_V \colon Y \to \overline{V} \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} Y$ with a map $s_Y \colon \mathbb{D}\overline{V} \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(Y, Y)$. For two finite dimensional $\mathbb{L}$-modules X, Y let $p_{X,Y} \colon \mathbb{D}(X \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} Y) \to \mathbb{D}Y \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{D}X$ be the canonical isomorphism. Furthermore note that $\mathbb{L} \cong \prod_{\mathtt{i}=1}^{\mathtt{n}} \Bbbk$ as algebras, and hence every $\mathbb{L}$-module U is projective. In particular, we can fix dual bases x^s of U and ξ^s of $\mathbb{D}U$, i.e. bases such that $\xi^s(x^t) = \delta_{st}$.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $Y, Z \in \mathrm{mod}\,\mathbb{L}$. Let U be an $\mathbb{L}$-module with basis x^s and its dual $\xi^s \in \mathbb{D}U$.*

(i) There is an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}\otimes\mathbb{L}}(\mathbb{D}U, \mathrm{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(Y, Z)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}}(Y, U \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} Z).$$

This isomorphism is given from left to right by $\Phi^U(f)(y) := \sum_s x^s \otimes f(\xi^s)(y)$ for $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}\otimes\mathbb{L}}(\mathbb{D}U, \mathrm{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(Y, Z))$ and $y \in Y$ and in the other direction by $\Psi^U(g)(\varphi)(y) := m(\varphi \otimes \mathbb{1}_Z)(g(y))$, where $g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}}(Y, U \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} Z), \varphi \in \mathbb{D}U, y \in Y$, and m is the canonical identification $\Bbbk \otimes_{\Bbbk} Z \to Z$.

(ii) The above isomorphism is functorial in the sense that for each morphism $h \colon U \to U'$ of $\mathbb{L}$-modules $\Psi^{U'}((h \otimes \mathbb{1}_Y)f) = \Psi^U(f) \circ \mathbb{D}h$.

(iii) Furthermore, the isomorphism is compatible with the standard adjunction in the following sense: Let $\alpha \colon \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}\otimes\mathbb{L}}(\mathbb{D}U', \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}\otimes\mathbb{L}}(\mathbb{D}U, \mathrm{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(Y, Z))) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}}(\mathbb{D}U \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{D}U', \mathrm{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(Y, Z))$ be the standard bimodule tensor-hom adjunction. Then,

$$\mathrm{Hom}(p_{U',U}, \mathrm{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(Y, Z))\alpha\, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}\otimes\mathbb{L}}(\mathbb{D}U', \Psi^U)\Psi^{U'} = \Psi^{U'\otimes U}.$$

(iv) Finally, the isomorphism is compatible with composition in the following sense:

$$\alpha \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}\otimes\mathbb{L}}(\mathbb{D}U', \Psi^U)\Psi^{U'}((1\otimes g)f) = m_{\mathbb{L}}(\Psi^U \otimes \Psi^{U'})(g\otimes f).$$

Proof. (i) To check that these define inverse equivalences note that

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi^U\Phi^U(f)(\varphi)(y) &= m(\varphi\otimes \mathbb{1}_Z)(\Phi^U(f)(y)) &&= \sum_s m(\varphi\otimes\mathbb{1}_Z)(x^s\otimes f(\xi^s)(y))\\ &= \sum_s m(\varphi(x^s)\otimes f(\xi^s)(y)) &&= \sum_s m(1\otimes f(\varphi(x^s)\xi^s)(y)\\ &= f(\varphi)(y)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi^U\Psi^U(g)(y) &= \sum_s x^s\otimes \Psi^U(g)(\xi^s)(y) &&= \sum_s x^s\otimes m(\xi^s\otimes\mathbb{1})g(y)\\ &= \sum_s\sum_{(y)} x^s\xi^s(u_{(1)})\otimes z_{(2)} &&= \sum_{(y)} u_{(1)}\otimes z_{(2)}\\ &= g(y)\end{aligned}$$

where, using Sweedler notation, $g(y) =: \sum_{(y)} u_{(1)}\otimes z_{(2)}$.

(ii) Using that $\mathbb{D}h(\varphi) = \varphi\circ h$ for $\varphi\in\mathbb{D}U'$, we obtain for $y\in Y$ and $f\colon Y\to U\otimes Z$:

$$\begin{aligned}(\Psi^U(f)\circ\mathbb{D}h)(\varphi)(y) &= \Psi^U(f)(\varphi\circ h)(y)\\ &= m((\varphi\circ h\otimes\mathbb{1}_Z)f(y)\\ &= m(\varphi\otimes\mathbb{1}_Z)(h\otimes\mathbb{1}_Z)f(y)\\ &= \Psi^{U'}((h\otimes\mathbb{1}_Z)f)(\varphi)(y).\end{aligned}$$

(iii) To check equality we apply $\Psi^{U'\otimes U}$ to some $g\in\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}}(Y, U'\otimes_{\mathbb{L}} U\otimes_{\mathbb{L}} Z)$ the result to $p^{-1}_{U',U}(\varphi\otimes\varphi')$ where $\varphi\in\mathbb{D}U$ and $\varphi'\in\mathbb{D}U'$, and finally the result to $y\in Y$:

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi^{U'\otimes U}(g)(p^{-1}_{U',U}(\varphi\otimes\varphi'))(y) &= m(p^{-1}_{U',U}(\varphi\otimes\varphi')\otimes\mathbb{1}_Z)g(y)\\ &= m(\varphi\otimes\mathbb{1}_Z)(m(\varphi'\otimes\mathbb{1}_Z)g(y))\\ &= m(\varphi\otimes\mathbb{1}_Z)(\Psi^{U'}(g)(\varphi')(y))\\ &= \Psi^U(\Psi^{U'}(g)(\varphi'))(\varphi)(y)\\ &= \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{D}U', \Psi^U)\Psi^{U'}(g)(\varphi')(\varphi)(y)\\ &= \alpha\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{D}U', \Psi^U)\Psi^{U'}(g)(\varphi\otimes\varphi')(y)\\ &= \operatorname{Hom}(p_{U',U}, \operatorname{Hom}(Y,Z))\alpha\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{D}U', \Psi^U)\Psi^{U'}(g)(p^{-1}_{U',U}(\varphi\otimes\varphi'))(y).\end{aligned}$$

(iv) Let $\varphi \in \mathbb{D}U, \varphi' \in \mathbb{D}U'$, $x \in X$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{D}U', \Psi^U)\Psi^{U'}(1 \otimes g)f(\varphi \otimes \varphi')(x) &= \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{D}U', \Psi^U)\Psi^{U'}((1 \otimes g)f)(\varphi')(\varphi)(x) \\
&= \Psi^U(\Psi^{U'}((1 \otimes g)f)(\varphi')(\varphi)(x) \\
&= \Psi^U(m(\varphi' \otimes 1)((1 \otimes g)f))(\varphi)(x) \\
&= m(\varphi \otimes \mathbb{1})m(\varphi' \otimes \mathbb{1})(1 \otimes g)f(x) \\
&= m(\varphi \otimes \mathbb{1})(g)m(\varphi' \otimes \mathbb{1})(f)(x) \\
&= m_{\mathbb{L}}(\Psi^U(g) \otimes \Psi^{U'}(f)(\varphi \otimes \varphi')(x). \qquad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 6.2. *Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A, V, \mu, \varepsilon)$ be a directed bocs.*

(i) The following defines a category $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{A})$:

objects: *pairs (Y, s_Y) such that Y is an $\mathbb{L}$-module and*

$$s_Y \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}\otimes\mathbb{L}}(\mathbb{D}\overline{V}, \operatorname{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(Y, Y))$$

satisfies

$$s_Y\mathbb{D}\partial + m(s_Y \otimes s_Y)p_{\overline{V},\overline{V}}\mathbb{D}m_{\overline{V}} = 0.$$

morphisms: *for two objects $(Y, s_Y), (Z, s_Z)$ a morphism is given by an element $s_f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}\otimes\mathbb{L}}(\mathbb{D}A, \operatorname{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(Y, Z))$ satisfying*

$$m((s_f \otimes s_Y)p_{\overline{V},A}\mathbb{D}m_r - (s_Z \otimes s_f)p_{A,\overline{V}}\mathbb{D}m_l) + s_f\mathbb{D}\partial = 0.$$

composition: *for two morphisms $s_f\colon (X, s_X) \to (Y, s_Y)$ and $s_g\colon (Y, s_Y) \to (Z, s_Z)$ their composition is given by $s_{gf} := m(s_g \otimes s_f)p_{A,A}\mathbb{D}m_A$.*

unit: *Let $s_{\mathbb{1}}\colon (Y, s_Y) \to (Y, s_Y)$ be the map defined by $s_{\mathbb{1}}(v)(y) = v(1)y$.*

(ii) The categories $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{A})$ and $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{A})$ are equivalent.

Proof. We only prove (ii), (i) follows by transport of structure. According to the previous lemma, there is an isomorphism

$$\Psi^{\overline{V}}\colon \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}}(Y, \overline{V} \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} Y) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}\otimes\mathbb{L}}(\mathbb{D}\overline{V}, \operatorname{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(Y, Y)).$$

Define the functor $\Psi\colon \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{A}) \to \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{A})$ on objects by $F((Y, c_Y)) = (Y, \Psi^{\overline{V}}(c_Y))$. Again invoking the foregoing lemma, for each two $\mathbb{L}$-modules Y, Z there is an isomorphism

$$\Psi^{A}\colon \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}}(Y, A \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} Z) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}\otimes\mathbb{L}}(\mathbb{D}A, \operatorname{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(Y, Z)).$$

Define Ψ on morphisms by $F(c_f) = \Psi^A(c_f)$ for a morphism $c_f\colon (Y, c_Y) \to (Z, c_Z)$. We have to prove that this defines a functor. Recall that the condition for (Y, c_Y) to be an element of $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{A})$ is

$$(\partial_1 \otimes \mathbb{1}_Y)c_Y + (m_{\overline{V}} \otimes \mathbb{1}_Y)(\mathbb{1}_{\overline{V}} \otimes c_Y)c_Y = 0.$$

We examine the two summands separatly. Applying $\Psi^{\overline{V}\otimes_A\overline{V}}$ to the first summand yields

$$\Psi^{\overline{V}\otimes_A\overline{V}}((\partial \otimes \mathbb{1}_Y)c_Y = s_Y \circ \mathbb{D}\partial_1$$

according to Lemma 6.1 (ii). For the second summand, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi^{\overline{V}\otimes_A\overline{V}}((m_{\overline{V}}\otimes\mathbb{1}_Y)(\mathbb{1}_{\overline{V}}\otimes c_Y)c_Y) &= \Psi^{\overline{V}\otimes_{\mathbb{L}}\overline{V}}((\mathbb{1}_{\overline{V}}\otimes c_Y)c_Y)\circ\mathbb{D}m_{\overline{V}}\\ &= (\operatorname{Hom}(p_{\overline{V},\overline{V}},\operatorname{Hom}(Y,Y))\alpha\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{D}\overline{V},\Psi^{\overline{V}})\Psi^{\overline{V}}(\mathbb{1}_{\overline{V}}\otimes c_Y)c_Y)\mathbb{D}m_{\overline{V}}\\ &= (\alpha\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{D}\overline{V},\psi^{\overline{V}})\Psi^{\overline{V}}((\mathbb{1}_{\overline{V}}\otimes c_Y)c_Y)p_{\overline{V},\overline{V}}\mathbb{D}m_{\overline{V}}\\ &= m_{\mathbb{L}}(\Psi^{\overline{V}}(c_Y)\otimes\Psi^{\overline{V}}(c_Y))p_{\overline{V},\overline{V}}\mathbb{D}m_{\overline{V}}\\ &= m_{\mathbb{L}}(s_Y\otimes s_Y)p_{\overline{V},\overline{V}}\mathbb{D}m_{\overline{V}}.\end{aligned}$$

Here we apply Lemma 6.1 (i), (ii), and (iv) to obtain the first, second, and third equality, respectively. Altogether, the condition translates to the stated equality in $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{A})$.

For the morphisms, the condition for $c_f\colon (Y,c_Y)\to(Z,c_Z)$ to be a morphism is

$$-(m_l\otimes\mathbb{1}_Z)(\mathbb{1}_A\otimes c_Z)c_f+(\partial_0\otimes\mathbb{1}_Z)c_f+(m_r\otimes\mathbb{1}_Z)(\mathbb{1}_{\overline{V}}\otimes c_f)c_Y=0.$$

We apply $\Psi^{\overline{V}}$ and consider the three summands separately. For the second summand, applying Lemma 6.1 (ii), we obtain $s_f\mathbb{D}\partial_0$. For the first summand, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi^{\overline{V}}(-(m_l\otimes\mathbb{1}_Z)(\mathbb{1}_A\otimes c_Z)c_f) &= -\Psi^{A\otimes\overline{V}}((\mathbb{1}_A\otimes c_Z)c_f)\mathbb{D}m_l\\ &= -m_{\mathbb{L}}(s_Z\otimes s_f)p_{A,\overline{V}}\mathbb{D}m_l,\end{aligned}$$

where Lemma 6.1 (ii), (iii), and (iv) were applied similarly to the argument for objects. Similarly the third summand translates to $m_{\mathbb{L}}(s_f\otimes s_Y)p_{\overline{V},A}\mathbb{D}m_r$. Altogether, the claimed formula for morphisms results.

For the composition, recall that c_{gf} is given by

$$c_{gf}=(m_A\otimes\mathbb{1}_Z)(\mathbb{1}_A\otimes c_g)c_f.$$

Applying Lemma 6.1 similarly to before, one obtains the claimed formula for s_{gf}.

For the units, note that

$$\Psi(c_{\mathbb{1}})(v)(y)=m(v\otimes\mathbb{1}_Y)(c_{\mathbb{1}}(y))=m(v\otimes\mathbb{1}_Y)(1\otimes y)=v(1)y=s_{\mathbb{1}}(v)(y).$$

From Ψ^U being an isomorphism for all U, we obtain that Ψ is an equivalence. □

7. Construction of the Ringel dual bocs

The goal of this section is to construct a bocs $\mathfrak{B}$ from the data of a bocs $\mathfrak{A}$ such that the category $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{op}})$, which we have shown to be equivalent to $\mathcal{F}(\nabla_R)$ in the previous sections, becomes equivalent to the category of modules for $\mathfrak{B}$.

Lemma 7.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}=(A,V,\mu,\varepsilon)$ be a directed bocs. Let $N:=\bigoplus_{i=-1}^{1}N_i$, where $N_0=\mathbb{D}\overline{V}$, $N_1=\mathbb{D}A$, $N_{-1}=\mathbb{D}(\overline{V}\otimes_A\overline{V})$. Let d be the degree 1 map on $\mathcal{N}:=\mathbb{L}[N]$ defined by*

- $d|_{N_1}=p_{A,A}\circ\mathbb{D}m_A\colon N_1\to N_1\otimes_{\mathbb{L}}N_1$,
- $d|_{N_0}=-p_{A,\overline{V}}\mathbb{D}m_l+p_{\overline{V},A}\mathbb{D}m_r+\mathbb{D}\partial_0\colon N_0\to N_0\otimes_{\mathbb{L}}N_1\oplus N_1\otimes_{\mathbb{L}}N_0\oplus N_1$,
- $d|_{N_{-1}}=p_{A,\overline{V}\otimes_A\overline{V}}\mathbb{D}m_L+p_{\overline{V}\otimes_A\overline{V},A}\mathbb{D}m_R+p_{\overline{V},\overline{V}}\mathbb{D}m_{\overline{V}}+\mathbb{D}\partial_1\colon N_{-1}\to N_{-1}\otimes_{\mathbb{L}}N_1\oplus N_1\otimes_{\mathbb{L}}N_{-1}\oplus N_0\otimes_{\mathbb{L}}N_0\oplus N_0$.

Then d is a differential.

Proof. We check that d is a differential individually for the components N_1, N_0, and N_{-1}. For N_1 first observe that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{D}(A \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} A) & \xrightarrow{p_{A,A}} & \mathbb{D}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{D}(A) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathbb{D}(m_A \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{D}A})} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{D}A} \otimes \mathbb{D}m_A} \\ \mathbb{D}(A \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} A \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} A) & \xrightarrow{p_{A\otimes_{\mathbb{L}}A,A}} & \mathbb{D}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{D}(A \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} A). \end{array}$$

The algebra (A, m_A) is associative, i.e. $m_A(m_A \otimes \mathbb{1}_A - \mathbb{1}_A \otimes m_A) = 0$. Since $\mathbb{D}$ is a contravariant functor, this implies that $(\mathbb{D}(m_A \otimes \mathbb{1}_A) - \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{1}_A \otimes m_A))\mathbb{D}m_A = 0$. Observing that $(p_{A,A} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{D}A})p_{A,A\otimes_{\mathbb{L}}A} = (\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{D}A} \otimes p_{A,A})p_{A\otimes_{\mathbb{L}}A,A}$, it follows that

$$((\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{D}A} \otimes p_{A,A})p_{A\otimes A,A}\mathbb{D}(m_A \otimes \mathbb{1}) - (p_{A,A} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{D}A})p_{A,A\otimes A}\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{1} \otimes m_A))\mathbb{D}m_A = 0.$$

Thus, using the commutative diagram above, we obtain that

$$(\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{D}A} \otimes p_{A,A})(\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{D}A} \otimes \mathbb{D}m_A)p_{A,A}m_A - (p_{A,A} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{D}A})(\mathbb{D}m_A \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{D}A})p_{A,A}\mathbb{D}m_A = 0.$$

This is, up to a sign, writing out $d^2|_{N_1}$.

For checking that $d^2|_{N_0} = 0$ note that there are four components of $\mathcal{N}$ that $d^2|_{N_0}$ lands in, namely $\mathbb{D}A \otimes \mathbb{D}A \otimes \mathbb{D}\overline{V}$, $\mathbb{D}A \otimes \mathbb{D}\overline{V} \otimes \mathbb{D}A$, $\mathbb{D}\overline{V} \otimes \mathbb{D}A \otimes \mathbb{D}A$, and $\mathbb{D}A \otimes \mathbb{D}A$. These can be checked individually using similar arguments as for $d^2|_{N_1}$.

- The component landing in $\mathbb{D}A \otimes \mathbb{D}A \otimes \mathbb{D}\overline{V}$ is
$$((p_{A,A}\mathbb{D}m_A) \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1} \otimes p_{\overline{V},A}\mathbb{D}m_r)p_{\overline{V},A}\mathbb{D}m_r$$
which vanishes because $\overline{V}$ is a right A-module.
- Similarly, the component landing in $\mathbb{D}\overline{V} \otimes \mathbb{D}A \otimes \mathbb{D}A$ vanishes because $\overline{V}$ is a left A-module.
- The component landing in $\mathbb{D}A \otimes \mathbb{D}\overline{V} \otimes \mathbb{D}A$ is
$$(\mathbb{1} \otimes p_{A,\overline{V}}\mathbb{D}m_l)\mathbb{D}m_r + (p_{\overline{V},A}\mathbb{D}m_r \otimes \mathbb{1})\mathbb{D}m_l.$$
This vanishes becuase $\overline{V}$ is an A-bimodule.
- The component landing in $\mathbb{D}A \otimes \mathbb{D}A$ is
$$p_{A,A}\mathbb{D}m_A\mathbb{D}\partial_0 + (\mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{D}\partial_0)p_{\overline{V},A}\mathbb{D}m_r + (\mathbb{D}\partial_0 \otimes \mathbb{1})p_{A,\overline{V}}\mathbb{D}m_l.$$
The dual of the graded Leibniz rule implies that this equals zero.

For $d^2|_{N_{-1}} = 0$ there are nine components to consider: $\mathbb{D}A\otimes\mathbb{D}A\otimes\mathbb{D}(\overline{V}\otimes_A\overline{V})$, $\mathbb{D}A\otimes\mathbb{D}(\overline{V}\otimes_A \overline{V})\otimes\mathbb{D}A$, $\mathbb{D}\overline{V}\otimes\mathbb{D}\overline{V}\otimes\mathbb{D}A$, $\mathbb{D}(\overline{V}\otimes_A\overline{V})\otimes\mathbb{D}A\otimes\mathbb{D}A$, $\mathbb{D}A\otimes\mathbb{D}\overline{V}\otimes\mathbb{D}\overline{V}$, $\mathbb{D}\overline{V}\otimes\mathbb{D}A\otimes\mathbb{D}\overline{V}$, $\mathbb{D}A\otimes\mathbb{D}\overline{V}$, $\mathbb{D}\overline{V}\otimes\mathbb{D}A$, $\mathbb{D}A$. Similarly to the previous case, one gets:

- Vanishing in $\mathbb{D}A \otimes \mathbb{D}A \otimes \mathbb{D}(\overline{V} \otimes_A \overline{V})$ because $\overline{V} \otimes_A \overline{V}$ is a right A-module,
- Vanishing in $\mathbb{D}(\overline{V} \otimes_A \overline{V}) \otimes \mathbb{D}A \otimes \mathbb{D}A$ because $\overline{V} \otimes_A \overline{V}$ is a left A-module,
- Vanishing in $\mathbb{D}A \otimes (\overline{V} \otimes_A \overline{V}) \otimes \mathbb{D}A$ because $\overline{V} \otimes_A \overline{V}$ is an A-bimodule,
- Vanishing in $\mathbb{D}A \otimes \mathbb{D}\overline{V}$ and $\mathbb{D}\overline{V} \otimes \mathbb{D}A$ because of the Leibniz rule.

Additionally,

- the component landing in $\mathbb{D}A$ is $\mathbb{D}\partial_0\mathbb{D}\partial_0$ which vanishes because ∂ is a differential,

- the components in $\mathbb{D}A\otimes\mathbb{D}\overline{V}\otimes\mathbb{D}\overline{V}$ and $\mathbb{D}\overline{V}\otimes\mathbb{D}\overline{V}\otimes\mathbb{D}A$ vanish, because multiplying on one side is compatible with the tensor product on the other side (this is a consequence of $\overline{V}$ being an A-bimodule),
- the component in $\mathbb{D}\overline{V}\otimes\mathbb{D}A\otimes\mathbb{D}\overline{V}$ is

$$(p_{A,\overline{V}}\mathbb{D}m_l\otimes\mathbb{1}+\mathbb{1}\otimes p_{\overline{V},A}\mathbb{D}m_r)p_{\overline{V},\overline{V}}\mathbb{D}m_V,$$

which vanishes since the tensor product is taken over A. □

Proposition 7.2. *Let $\mathfrak{A}=(A,V,\mu,\varepsilon)$ be a directed bocs. Let N, $\mathcal{N}$, and d be as in the foregoing proposition.*

(i) The ideal I generated by all N_i with $i<0$ and $d(N_{-1})$ is a differential ideal with respect to the d constructed above.
(ii) The factor $\mathcal{U}:=\mathcal{N}/I$ is a differential graded category freely generated over its degree 0 part by N_1.
(iii) Let $\mathbb{D}A=\mathbb{D}\mathbb{L}\oplus\mathbb{D}\operatorname{rad}(A)$ be an $\mathbb{L}$-module decomposition. Then, $\mathcal{U}$ together with this decomposition satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 4.6. Thus, it defines a normal bocs $\mathfrak{B}=(B,W,\mu',\varepsilon')$ with a projective kernel.
(iv) The bocs $\mathfrak{B}$ is directed.

Proof. The proof is similar to [KKO14, Lemma 8.1]. The constructed bocs is directed by construction as $\mathfrak{A}$ was directed. □

Note that the algebra B is the quotient of the tensor algebra $\mathbb{L}[N_0]$ by the ideal J generated by the image of the map $\mathbb{D}\partial_1+p_{\overline{V},\overline{V}}\mathbb{D}m_{\overline{V}}\colon\mathbb{D}(\overline{V}\otimes_A\overline{V})\to N_0\oplus N_0\otimes_{\mathbb{L}}N_0$. The group-like elements of W are given by $\mathbb{D}e_i$, for orthogonal idempotents $e_i\in A$. The space $\mathbb{D}\operatorname{rad}(A)$ generates $\overline{W}$ as a B-bimodule.

Definition 7.3. Let $\mathfrak{A}=(A,V,\mu,\varepsilon)$ be a directed bocs. Then the opposite bocs $\mathfrak{B}=(B,W,\mu',\varepsilon')$ of the bocs constructed from $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{op}}$ using the foregoing proposition is called the ***Ringel dual bocs***.

We combine our results so far to obtain the main theorem of this article.

Theorem 7.4. *Let $\mathfrak{A}=(A,V)$ be a directed bocs and $\mathfrak{B}=(B,W)$ its Ringel dual. Then the right algebra of $\mathfrak{B}$ is Morita equivalent to the Ringel dual of the right algebra of $\mathfrak{A}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.5 the right algebra $R_{\mathfrak{A}}$ of $\mathfrak{A}$ is quasi-hereditary with $\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A}\cong\mathcal{F}(\Delta_{R_{\mathfrak{A}}})$. Let $\mathcal{F}(\nabla)$ be the subcategory of ∇-filtered objects of $R_{\mathfrak{A}}$. According to Proposition 5.6, the category $\mathcal{F}(\nabla)$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{F}(\Diamond)$ in $D^b(\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A})$. Applying the duality $\mathbb{D}$, the category $\mathcal{F}(\Diamond)$ is in turn equivalent to $\mathcal{F}(\square)^{\mathrm{op}}$ in $D^b(\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{op}})$. As observed in Theorem 5.9, the category $\mathcal{F}(\square)^{\mathrm{op}}$ is equivalent to the category $N(\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{op}})^{\mathrm{op}}$ which is in turn equivalent to $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{op}})^{\mathrm{op}}$ by Proposition 6.2. Using the description of bocs representations in 5.1, it is easy to see that $\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{B}^{\mathrm{op}}$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{op}})^{\mathrm{op}}$. Indeed, an object of $\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{B}$ is a $B=\mathbb{L}[N_0]/J$ module, i.e. an $\mathbb{L}$-module M together with a map $s_M\colon\mathbb{D}\overline{V}\to\operatorname{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(M,M)$ vanishing on J. The later condition can be written as $s_M\circ\mathbb{D}\partial_1+m(s_M\otimes s_M)p_{\overline{V},\overline{V}}\mathbb{D}m_{\overline{V}}=0$. A morphism $f\colon M\to N$ in $\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{B}$ is a map $s_f\colon\mathbb{D}A=\mathbb{D}\mathbb{L}\oplus\mathbb{D}\mathrm{rad}(A)\to\operatorname{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(M,N)$

which vanishes on the image of N_0 in N_1. Taking into account the definition of $\mathcal{U}$, the second condition translates into $m((s_f \otimes s_M)p_{\overline{V},A}\mathbb{D}m_r - (s_N \otimes s_f)p_{A,\overline{V}}\mathbb{D}m_l) + s_f\mathbb{D}_0 = 0$. Thus, applying duality, $\operatorname{mod}\mathfrak{B} \cong \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{op}})$, which by Dlab–Ringel's standardisation theorem, Theorem 3.3, implies that $R_{\mathfrak{B}}$ is Morita equivalent to the Ringel dual of $R_{\mathfrak{A}}$. □

8. Smooth rational surfaces and curve-like algebras

In this section we demonstrate how knowledge of additional properties of a quasi-hereditary algebra can be used to exclude certain possibilities for the A_∞-structure on the Ext-algebra of the standard modules. We also give examples of computations of the bocs of the Ringel dual of a quasi-hereditary algebra.

Recall, that an algebra Λ is ***left strongly quasi-hereditary*** if it is quasi-hereditary and the projective dimension of every standard Λ-module is at most one. Assume now that Λ is a left strongly quasi-hereditary algebra with a duality $\mathcal{D}$ on $\operatorname{mod}\Lambda$ preserving simple modules. Then the functor $\mathcal{D}$ maps standard modules to costandard and projective to injective, hence Λ is also right strongly quasi-hereditary, i.e. all costandard A-modules have injective dimension less than two. By [Par01], Λ has global dimension two.

Let $R(\Lambda)$ be the Ringel dual of Λ. Then, by [Rin10], $R(\Lambda)$ is right strongly quasi-hereditary and it has a duality preserving simple modules, hence it is also left strongly quasi-hereditary.

It follows that the class of left strongly quasi-hereditary algebras with duality preserving simple modules is closed under Ringel duality. Since the duality maps standard Λ-modules to costandard, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\dim \operatorname{Hom}_\Lambda(\Delta(\lambda), \Delta(\mu)) = \dim \operatorname{Hom}_\Lambda(\nabla(\mu), \nabla(\lambda)), \\ &\dim \operatorname{Ext}^1_\Lambda(\Delta(\lambda), \Delta(\mu)) = \dim \operatorname{Ext}^1_\Lambda(\nabla(\mu), \nabla(\lambda)). \end{aligned} \tag{8.0.1}$$

We say that an algebra Λ is ***curve-like*** if it is a left strongly quasi-hereditary algebra with a duality preserving simple modules and

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_\Lambda(\Delta(\lambda), \Delta(\mu)) = 1 = \dim \operatorname{Ext}^1_\Lambda(\Delta(\lambda), \Delta(\mu))$$

for all $1 \leq \lambda < \mu \leq n$ (see the introduction for a motivation where the name comes from).

For working with left strongly quasi-hereditary algebras, the language of differential biquivers is useful. It is a different way of talking about normal bocses (A, V) with projective kernel where A is a hereditary algebra (also called ***free*** bocses). A ***biquiver*** is a quiver (Q_0, Q_1) where the arrows are either of degree 0, then they are called ***solid***, or of degree 1, in which case they are called ***dashed***. A ***differential biquiver*** is a biquiver Q together with a linear map $\partial\colon \Bbbk Q \to \Bbbk Q$ of degree 1 which squares to 0, satisfies $\partial(e) = 0$ for the trivial paths and satisfies the graded Leibniz rule. The following theorem is due to A. Roiter, [Roĭ79]

Theorem 8.1. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between free normal bocses with projective kernel and differential biquivers given by:*

- *Given a differential biquiver (Q, ∂), the corresponding bocs (A, V) is given by $A := kQ^0$, the path algebra of the degree 0 part. and $V = A\omega \oplus \underbrace{A \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \Bbbk Q^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} A}_{=:\overline{V}}$ as left modules (where $A\omega \cong A$) with right module structure given by the embedding $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \partial \end{pmatrix} : A \to A\omega \oplus \overline{V}$. The comultiplication is then given by*

$$\mu(a\omega + v) = a\omega \otimes \omega a + v \otimes \omega + \omega \otimes v$$

and the counit by $\varepsilon(a\omega + v) = a$.
- *In the other direction, let (A, V) be a free normal bocs with projective kernel. Let ω be a group-like with $\varepsilon(\omega) = 1$. Let Q be the biquiver with degree 0 part such that $kQ^0 \cong A$. Let Q^1 be a free generating system of $\overline{V} := \ker \varepsilon$, i.e. $\overline{V} \cong A \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \Bbbk Q^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} A$. Define $\partial(a) = a\omega - \omega a$ for a solid arrow a and $\partial(v) = \mu(v) - \omega \otimes v - v \otimes \omega$ for a dashed arrow (cf. Proposition 5.1).*

Furthermore, specialising the general construction from [KKO14] to the case of left strongly quasi-hereditary algebras, $A = \Bbbk Q^0$ is given by $\mathbb{L}[s^{-1}\mathbb{D}\operatorname{Ext}^1(\Delta, \Delta)]$ and similarly $\Bbbk Q^1$ is given by $\mathbb{L}[s^{-1}\mathbb{D}\operatorname{Hom}(\Delta, \Delta)]$. The differential is obtained as the dual of the higher multiplications on the dual bar construction of the A_∞-algebra $\operatorname{Ext}^*_\Lambda(\Delta, \Delta)$, see [KKO14, Kül17] for more details.

Definition 8.2. Let (A, V) be a directed bocs with A basic. An arrow a in the quiver of A (which is identified with an element of A) is called ***superfluous*** or ***non-regular*** if $\partial(a)$ is a generator of the projective bimodule $\overline{V}$. A bocs is called ***regular*** if it does not contain any superfluous arrows.

In the case that the bocs corresponds to a directed biquiver, a solid arrow $a \in Q(\mathtt{i}, \mathtt{j})$ is called superfluous if $\partial(a) = \lambda v + \sum_i \mu_i p_i$ where the p_i are paths from $\mathtt{i}$ to $\mathtt{j}$ with v not contained in any of them. In this case, the corresponding element $a \in A$ is superfluous. There is an equivalence of module categories of bocses removing a and v and replacing any occurence of v in the differentials of the arrows by $-\frac{1}{\lambda}\sum_i \mu_i p_i$. This process is called ***regularisation***.

We need the following characterisation of regular directed bocses, which can be found in unpublished notes of S. Ovsienko and might be well known in the Kiev school. A proof will appear in a forthcoming article of the second author with V. Miemietz [KM16].

Lemma 8.3. *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a directed normal (free) bocs. Then, the following are equivalent:*
(1) $\mathfrak{A}$ is regular.
(2) $\operatorname{Ext}^1_A(L(\mathtt{i}), L(\mathtt{j})) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathfrak{A}}(\Delta(\mathtt{i}), \Delta(\mathtt{j}))$.
(3) As a projective bimodule, $\overline{V}$ has $\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{A}}(L(\mathtt{i}), L(\mathtt{j}))$ generators.

We use the construction of the bocs of $R(\Lambda)$ given by Theorem 7.4 to exclude possible A_∞-structures on the Ext-algebra of standard modules over a curve-like algebra.

Lemma 8.4. *Let Λ be a curve-like algebra. Then the composition of homomorphisms between standard Λ-modules is non-zero.*

Proof. Assume that $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\Delta(\mathtt{j}), \Delta(\mathtt{k}))$, $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\Delta(\mathtt{i}), \Delta(\mathtt{j}))$ such that $\psi\varphi = 0$. By the construction in [KKO14], in the bocs (A, V) corresponding to Λ, this will give corresponding generators of the directs summands $Ae_{\mathtt{j}} \otimes_{\Bbbk} e_{\mathtt{k}}A$ and $Ae_{\mathtt{i}} \otimes_{\Bbbk} e_{\mathtt{j}}A$ of $\ker \varepsilon$, which by abuse of notation we denote by the same letters. We depict the situation with the following picture:

$$\mathtt{i} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathtt{j} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathtt{k}$$

The bocs of the Ringel dual quasi-hereditary algebra has $\widehat{\varphi}$, $\widehat{\psi}$ in degree zero and $\widehat{\psi\varphi}$ in degree minus one (where we denote the corresponding elements of a dual basis with a hat above their names). We depict the situation with the following picture:



As φ, ψ are generators of $\ker \varepsilon$ and the bocs (A, V) was assumed to be regular, only the term $p_{\overline{V},\overline{V}}\mathbb{D}m_{\overline{V}}$ contributes to $d|_{N_{-1}}(\widehat{\psi\varphi})$. Thus, $\partial(\widehat{\psi\varphi}) = \widehat{\psi} \otimes \widehat{\varphi}$ (note that, if $\psi \circ \varphi = \tau$, we would have $\partial(\widehat{\psi\varphi}) = \widehat{\psi} \otimes \widehat{\varphi} + \widehat{\tau}$). To prove that this gives a relation in B, i.e. a non-trivial Ext^2 between costandard modules, we have to prove that $\hat{\varphi}, \hat{\psi}$ are not superfluous in (A, V), cf. the foregoing lemma. To prove that they are not superfluous, note that since φ, ψ were generators of $\ker \varepsilon$ and (A, V) is assumed to be regular, $\partial(\hat{\varphi}) = \partial(\hat{\psi}) = 0$. Furthermore, note that $\hat{\varphi}$ and $\hat{\psi}$ are also non-zero in A as the only relations arise from $\mathbb{D}(\overline{V} \otimes_A \overline{V})$ and always involve the term $p_{\overline{V},\overline{V}}\mathbb{D}\overline{V}$. From Theorem 4.5 (iv) we conclude that $\mathrm{Ext}^2(\nabla(\mathtt{i}), \nabla(\mathtt{k})) \neq 0$, a contradiction to the fact that the algebra is strongly quasi-hereditary. □

Lemma 8.5. *Let Λ be a curve-like algebra. Let*

$$\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(\Delta(\mathtt{i}), \Delta(\mathtt{j})), \psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(\Delta(\mathtt{j}), \Delta(\mathtt{k})), a \in \mathrm{Ext}^1_\Lambda(\Delta(\mathtt{i}), \Delta(\mathtt{j})), b \in \mathrm{Ext}^1_\Lambda(\Delta(\mathtt{j}), \Delta(\mathtt{k})).$$

Then at least one of the compositions $b\varphi$ and ψa is non-zero.

Proof. Note that ψa and $b\varphi$ are distinct elements of $\mathbb{L}^1[\mathbb{D}sE]$. Thus, if the arrows $\widehat{\psi a}$ and $\widehat{b\varphi}$ in the Ringel dual bocs were not superfluous they would give two distinct arrows between vertices $\mathtt{i}$ and $\mathtt{k}$ in the algebra B of the Ringel dual bocs, which by Lemma 8.3 would give a contradiction to the fact that Λ, whence its Ringel dual, is assumed to be curve-like since this would give a more than 2-dimensional Ext^1-space between costandard modules for Λ.

It is sufficient to prove that the arrows $\widehat{\psi a}$ and $\widehat{b\varphi}$ are not superfluous. By construction and the previous lemma, the Ringel dual bocs is again free (i.e. the algebra B is hereditary). Moreover, note that $\partial(\widehat{\psi a})$ has no term which is a generator of $\overline{W}$. Indeed, such a generator would come from the term $\mathbb{D}\partial_0$. Since ∂_0 is constructed as the dual of the m_i on $\mathrm{Ext}^*(\Delta, \Delta)$, $\partial_0(c) = \psi a$ would mean that $\psi a = c$ in $\mathrm{Ext}^*(\Delta, \Delta)$. Thus, if $\psi a = 0 = b\varphi$, both $\widehat{\psi a}$ and

$\widehat{b\varphi}$ are not superfluous which contradicts the assumption that the algebra, hence also its Ringel dual, is curve-like by Lemma 8.3. □

We continue by illustrating how this yields a classification of the curve-like quasi-hereditary algebras in small examples.

Clearly there is only one biquiver of a curve-like algebra with two simple modules. The unique curve-like algebra with two simple modules is the algebra $\bullet \underset{\beta}{\overset{\alpha}{\rightleftarrows}} \bullet$ with $\alpha\beta = 0$.

8.1. **Curve-like algebras with three simples.** In the case of three simples, the situation is restricted enough that we can classify not only the curve-like quasi-hereditary algebras, but all quasi-hereditary algebras with the same dimensions of Hom- and Ext-spaces between standard modules. The following is the corresponding biquiver:



Using possibly scaling of the arrows, there are the following 8 possibilities for the differential of the bocs (A, V):

$$\partial(\chi) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{case 1} \\ \psi\varphi & \text{case 2} \end{cases} \text{ and } \partial(c) = \begin{cases} \psi a & \text{case A} \\ b\varphi & \text{case B} \\ \psi a + b\varphi & \text{case C} \\ 0 & \text{case D} \end{cases}$$

As we have seen, the four algebras in case 1 are not right strongly quasi-hereditary. The algebras in case D will have different dimension of $\mathrm{Ext}^1_\Lambda(\nabla(\mathtt{1}), \nabla(\mathtt{3}))$. Hence, only three of the algebras in question are curve-like, namely cases $2A$, $2B$, and $2C$. The cases $2A$ and $2C$ actually arise from the geometry of surfaces, as explained in [BB17]. The case $2B$ is Ringel dual to the case $2A$. The algebra $2B$ appears in a paper by V. Mazorchuk, see [Maz10, Example 23], and also [Kül17, Example 4.59] for its category of filtered modules. A Morita representative of the corresponding quasi-hereditary algebras can be obtained by taking the right algebra of the corresponding bocs. This will usually not be basic. For convenience of the reader we instead list the corresponding basic algebras. To illustrate what the Ringel dual algebra might be if the assumption on being curve-like is omitted we provide also the Ringel dual algebras.

1A: Quiver



with relations $\gamma\delta = \beta\delta = \alpha\varepsilon = \alpha\beta = 0$, which has Ringel dual given by



with relations $\delta\zeta = \beta\varepsilon = \beta\zeta = \delta\gamma = \alpha\delta\varepsilon = 0$, after removing the superfluous arrow $\widehat{\psi a}$ (together with its counterpart $\hat{c}$), the bocs corresponding to the Ringel dual looks as follows:



with relation $\hat{\psi}\hat{\varphi}$ and differential $\partial(\widehat{b\varphi}) = \hat{b} \otimes \hat{\varphi}$ and $\partial(\widehat{ba}) = \hat{b} \otimes \hat{a}$

1B: Quiver



with relations $\alpha\delta, \gamma\delta, \gamma\varepsilon, \beta\alpha\varepsilon, \alpha\beta$, its Ringel dual is isomorphic to the opposite algebra of **1A**. Its bocs looks like in case **1A** with $\widehat{b\varphi}$ replaced by $\widehat{\psi a}$ and $\partial(\widehat{\psi a}) = \hat{\psi} \otimes \hat{a}$.

1C: Quiver



with relations $\alpha\varepsilon = \delta\gamma = \beta\gamma = 0, \alpha\beta = \gamma\delta$. Its Ringel dual is



with relations $\alpha\beta = \alpha\delta = \varepsilon\gamma = 0, \beta\alpha = \delta\gamma$. The bocs corresponding to the Ringel dual has biquiver



with differential $\partial(\widehat{b\varphi}) = \hat{b} \otimes \hat{\varphi} - \hat{\psi} \otimes \hat{a}$ and $\partial(\widehat{ba}) = \hat{b} \otimes \hat{a}$ and relations $\hat{\psi}\hat{\varphi} = 0$.

1D: Quiver



with relations $\alpha\delta = \beta\alpha\varepsilon = \gamma\alpha\varepsilon = \alpha\beta = \zeta\beta = \zeta\delta = \zeta\varepsilon = 0$. Its Ringel dual is isomorphic to its own opposite algebra. The Ext-spaces between costandard modules are $\mathrm{Ext}^i_\Lambda(\nabla(1), \nabla(3)) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{for } i = 0 \\ 3 & \text{for } i = 1, \\ 1 & \text{for } i = 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

2A: Quiver

$$1 \underset{\gamma}{\overset{\delta}{\rightleftarrows}} 2 \underset{\alpha}{\overset{\beta}{\rightleftarrows}} 3$$

with relations $\beta\alpha = \delta\gamma = 0$, which is Ringel dual to **2B**,

2B: Quiver

$$2 \underset{\gamma}{\overset{\delta}{\rightleftarrows}} 1 \underset{\alpha}{\overset{\beta}{\rightleftarrows}} 3$$

with relations $\gamma\delta = \beta\alpha = \beta\delta\gamma\alpha = 0$,

2C: Quiver

$$1 \underset{\gamma}{\overset{\delta}{\rightleftarrows}} 2 \underset{\alpha}{\overset{\beta}{\rightleftarrows}} 3$$

with relations $\beta\alpha = 0$ and $\delta\gamma = \alpha\beta$. This is in fact the Auslander algebra of $k[x]/(x^3)$ and is well-known to be Ringel self-dual.

2D: Quiver



with relations $\varepsilon\delta = \gamma\alpha\delta = \alpha\beta = \varepsilon\beta = 0$. Its Ringel dual is isomorphic to its opposite algebra and has a bocs given by the biquiver



without relations and differential $\partial(\widehat{b\varphi}) = \hat{b} \otimes \hat{\varphi}, \partial(\widehat{\psi a}) = \hat{\psi} \otimes \hat{a}$, and $\partial(\widehat{ba}) = \hat{b} \otimes \hat{a}$.

8.2. **Curve-like algebras with four simples.** Finally, we classify all possible A_∞-structures on curve-like algebras with four simple modules.

At first we do not give names to the composed maps and extensions, but only write down the irreducible maps which we denote as

$$1 \underset{\cdots\varphi\cdots\rightarrow}{\overset{a}{\longrightarrow}} 2 \underset{\cdots\psi\cdots\rightarrow}{\overset{b}{\longrightarrow}} 3 \underset{\cdots\rho\cdots\rightarrow}{\overset{c}{\longrightarrow}} 4$$

with a, b, c representing non-trivial elements in Ext^1-groups and φ, ψ, ρ non-trivial homomorphisms between standard modules.

It follows from Lemma 8.4 that for any curve-like algebra $\rho \circ \psi \circ \varphi \neq 0$. Moreover, Lemma 8.5 implies that there are the following possibilities on the composition morphisms with elements of the first Ext-groups:

	ψa	$b\varphi$	ρb	$c\psi$	$\rho\psi a$	$\rho b\varphi$	$c\psi\varphi$
A	0	$\neq 0$	0	$\neq 0$	0	0	$\neq 0$
B	0	$\neq 0$	$\neq 0$	0	0	$\neq 0$	0
C	0	$\neq 0$	$\neq 0$	$\neq 0$	0	$\neq 0$	$\neq 0$
D	$\neq 0$	0	0	$\neq 0$	0	0	$\neq 0$
E	$\neq 0$	0	0	$\neq 0$	$\neq 0$	0	0
F	$\neq 0$	0	0	$\neq 0$	$\neq 0$	0	$\neq 0$
G	$\neq 0$	0	$\neq 0$	0	$\neq 0$	0	0
H	$\neq 0$	0	$\neq 0$	$\neq 0$	$\neq 0$	0	0
I	$\neq 0$	$\neq 0$	0	$\neq 0$	0	0	$\neq 0$
J	$\neq 0$	$\neq 0$	$\neq 0$	0	$\neq 0$	$\neq 0$	0
K	$\neq 0$	$\neq 0$	$\neq 0$	$\neq 0$	$\neq 0$	$\neq 0$	$\neq 0$

Rescaling a, b, c, if necessary, we can always assume that two elements of Hom or Ext^1 that differ by a non-zero scalar are in fact equal (and then choose this composition as the basis element of the corresponding Ext^1-space).

Some of the above algebras might have different A_∞-structures. First, we show that, up to A_∞-quasi-isomorphism, $m_3(c, \psi, \varphi)$, $m_3(\rho, b, \varphi)$ and $m_3(\rho, \psi, a)$ vanish.

Recall, that an A_∞-***morphism*** $F\colon A \to B$ of A_∞-algebras is a family of morphism $F_n\colon A^{\otimes n} \to B$ of degree $1-n$ such that

$$\sum_{r+s+t=n} (-1)^{r+st} F_{r+1+t}(\mathrm{id}^{\otimes r} \otimes m_s \otimes \mathrm{id}^{\otimes t}) = \sum_{i_1+\ldots+i_r=n} (-1)^w m_r(F_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes F_{i_r}), \tag{8.5.1}$$

where $w = (r-1)(i_1-1) + (r-2)(i_2-1) + \ldots + (i_{r-1}-1)$. We say that F is a ***quasi-isomorphism*** if $F_1\colon A \to B$ is.

Remark 8.6. Consider an A_∞-quasi-isomorphism from the A_∞-algebra on the above quiver with vertices $\{1,2,3,4\}$ to itself with $F_1 = \mathrm{Id}$,

$$F_2(c, \psi\varphi) = m_3(c, \psi, \varphi), \qquad F_2(\rho\psi, a) = -m_3(\rho, \psi, a), \qquad F_2(\rho, b) = \lambda\rho\psi,$$

for λ such that $m_3(\rho, b, \varphi) = \lambda\, \rho\psi\varphi$. We assume that F_2 vanishes on the remaining pairs of elements and that $F_n = 0$ for $n > 2$. It follows from (8.5.1) that we get an A_∞-structure on the quiver with

$$m_3(c, \psi, \varphi) = m_3(\rho, \psi, a) = m_3(\rho, b, \varphi) = 0.$$

For reader's convenience let us check that $m_3(\rho, b, \varphi)$ indeed vanishes. Equality (8.5.1) implies that

$$\lambda\rho\psi\varphi = F_1 m_3(\rho, b, \varphi) = \overline{m}_3(\rho, b, \varphi) + m_2(F_2(\rho, b) \otimes \varphi) = \overline{m}_3(\rho, b, \varphi) + \lambda\rho\psi\varphi.$$

Consider the quiver



where the arrows correspond to basis elements of the Ext^1-spaces (for the solid arrows) or Hom-spaces (for the dashed arrows) as before.

By Lemma 8.4 and Remark 8.6 the differential on the dashed arrows of the biquiver is given by

$$\partial(\chi) = \psi\varphi, \qquad \partial(\sigma) = \rho\psi, \qquad \partial(\tau) = \sigma\psi + \rho\chi.$$

The differentials on the solid arrows of the biquiver depend on the case A–K.

	A	B	C	D	E	F
$\partial(d)$	$b\varphi$	$b\varphi$	$b\varphi$	ψa	ψa	ψa
$\partial(e)$	$c\psi$	ρb	$c\psi + \rho b$	$c\psi$	$c\psi$	$c\psi$
$\partial(f)$	$c\chi + e\varphi$	$\rho d + e\varphi$	$\rho d + e\varphi + c\chi$	$c\chi + e\varphi$	$\rho d + \sigma a$	$\rho d + \sigma a + c\chi + e\varphi$

	G	H	I	J	K
$\partial(d)$	ψa	ψa	$b\varphi + \psi a$	$b\varphi + \psi a$	$b\varphi + \psi a$
$\partial(e)$	ρb	$\rho b + c\psi$	$c\psi$	ρb	$\rho b + c\psi$
$\partial(f)$	$\rho d + \sigma a$	$\rho d + \sigma a$	$c\chi + e\varphi$	$\rho d + \sigma a + e\varphi$	$\rho d + \sigma a + c\chi + e\varphi$

with possible further terms in $\partial(f)$ depending on a non-vanishing A_∞-structure.

In the notation of Lemma 7.1, the quiver of the Ringel dual bocs has the following bases

$$N_{-1}\colon \{\widehat{\psi\varphi},\ \widehat{\rho\psi},\ \widehat{\sigma\varphi},\ \widehat{\rho\chi},\ \widehat{c\psi\varphi},\ \widehat{\rho b\varphi},\ \widehat{\rho\psi a}\},$$
$$N_0\colon \{\widehat{\varphi},\ \widehat{\psi},\ \widehat{\rho},\ \widehat{\chi},\ \widehat{b\varphi},\ \widehat{\psi a},\ \widehat{\sigma},\ \widehat{\rho b},\ \widehat{c\psi},\ \widehat{\tau},\ \widehat{\rho d},\ \widehat{c\chi},\ \widehat{\sigma a},\ \widehat{e\varphi},\ \widehat{\rho ba},\ \widehat{c\psi a},\ \widehat{cb\varphi}\},$$
$$N_1\colon \{\widehat{a},\ \widehat{b},\ \widehat{c},\ \widehat{d},\ \widehat{ba},\ \widehat{e},\ \widehat{cb},\ \widehat{f},\ \widehat{cba},\ \widehat{cd},\ \widehat{ea}\}.$$

Let us consider in details cases D and H.

In case D:

$$\partial(\widehat{\psi\varphi}) = \widehat{\psi}\otimes\widehat{\varphi} + \widehat{\chi}, \qquad \partial(\widehat{\rho\psi}) = \widehat{\rho}\otimes\widehat{\psi} + \widehat{\sigma},$$
$$\partial(\widehat{\sigma\varphi}) = \widehat{\sigma}\otimes\widehat{\rho} + \widehat{\tau}, \qquad \partial(\widehat{\rho\chi}) = \widehat{\sigma}\otimes\widehat{\chi} + \widehat{\tau},$$
$$\partial(\widehat{c\psi\varphi}) = \widehat{c}\otimes\widehat{\psi\varphi} + \widehat{c\psi}\otimes\widehat{\varphi} + \widehat{c\chi} + \widehat{e\varphi}, \qquad \partial(\widehat{\rho\psi a}) = \widehat{\rho\psi}\otimes\widehat{a} + \widehat{\rho}\otimes\widehat{\psi a} + \widehat{\sigma a} + \widehat{\rho d},$$
$$\partial(\widehat{\rho b\varphi}) = \widehat{\rho}\otimes\widehat{b\varphi} + \widehat{\rho b}\otimes\widehat{\varphi}.$$

We use the above differentials to regularise $\widehat{\psi\varphi}$ with $\widehat{\chi}$, $\widehat{\rho\psi}$ with $\widehat{\sigma}$, $\widehat{\sigma\varphi}$ with $\widehat{\tau}$, $\widehat{c\psi\varphi}$ with $\widehat{c\chi}$ and $\widehat{\rho\psi a}$ with $\widehat{\sigma a}$ in the dual quiver. The following arrows remain:

$$\deg 0 = \{\widehat{\varphi},\ \widehat{\psi},\ \widehat{\rho},\ \widehat{b\varphi},\ \widehat{\psi a},\ \widehat{\rho b},\ \widehat{c\psi},\ \widehat{\rho d},\ \widehat{e\varphi},\ \widehat{\rho ba},\ \widehat{c\psi a},\ \widehat{cb\varphi}\},$$
$$\deg 1 = \{\widehat{a},\ \widehat{b},\ \widehat{c},\ \widehat{d},\ \widehat{ba},\ \widehat{e},\ \widehat{cb},\ \widehat{f},\ \widehat{cba},\ \widehat{cd},\ \widehat{ea}\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial(\widehat{b\varphi}) = \widehat{b}\otimes\widehat{\varphi}, && \partial(\widehat{\psi a}) = \widehat{\psi}\otimes\widehat{a} + \widehat{d},\\
&\partial(\widehat{\rho b}) = \widehat{\rho}\otimes\widehat{b}, && \partial(\widehat{c\psi}) = \widehat{c}\otimes\widehat{\psi} + \widehat{e},\\
&\partial(\widehat{\rho d}) = \widehat{\rho}\otimes\widehat{d}, && \partial(\widehat{e\varphi}) = \widehat{e}\otimes\widehat{\varphi} + \widehat{f},\\
&\partial(\widehat{\rho ba}) = \widehat{\rho}\otimes\widehat{ba} + \widehat{\rho b}\otimes\widehat{a}, && \partial(\widehat{cb\varphi}) = \widehat{c}\otimes\widehat{b\varphi} + \widehat{cb}\otimes\widehat{\varphi},\\
&\partial(\widehat{c\psi a}) = \widehat{c\psi}\otimes\widehat{a} + \widehat{c}\otimes\widehat{\psi a} + \widehat{cd} + \widehat{ea}.
\end{aligned}$$

The differentials of $\widehat{\rho ba}$, $\widehat{cb\varphi}$ and $\widehat{c\psi a}$ can also depend on the A_∞-structure. If $m_3(\rho, b, a)$, $m_3(c, b, \varphi)$ or $m_3(c, \psi, a)$ is non-zero, then it is equal to λf and in $\partial(\widehat{\rho ba})$, $\partial(\widehat{cb\varphi})$ and $\partial(\widehat{c\psi a})$ a term $\lambda\widehat{f}$ needs to be added. However, it does not affect the dimension of the regular quiver as $\partial(\widehat{f})$ can be regularised with $\widehat{e\varphi}$.

We can regularise $\widehat{\psi a}$ with $\widehat{d}$, $\widehat{c\psi}$ with $\widehat{e}$, $\widehat{e\varphi}$ with $\widehat{f}$, and $\widehat{c\psi a}$ with $\widehat{cd}$. We are left with

$$\deg 0 = \{\widehat{\varphi}, \widehat{\psi}, \widehat{\rho}, \widehat{b\varphi}, \widehat{\rho b}, \widehat{\rho d}, \widehat{\rho ba}, \widehat{cb\varphi}\}, \qquad \deg 1 = \{\widehat{a}, \widehat{b}, \widehat{c}, \widehat{ba}, \widehat{cb}, \widehat{cba}, \widehat{ea}\}.$$

It shows that dimensions do not agree with the dimension of a curve-like algebra given by Lemma 8.3, hence case D cannot be a bocs of a curve-like algebra.

In the similar manner we can exclude cases E and F.

To illustrate what happens in the "good" case we consider in details the case H. The differentials are

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial(\widehat{\psi\varphi}) = \widehat{\psi}\otimes\widehat{\varphi} + \widehat{\chi}, && \partial(\widehat{\rho\psi}) = \widehat{\rho}\otimes\widehat{\psi} + \widehat{\sigma},\\
&\partial(\widehat{\sigma\varphi}) = \widehat{\sigma}\otimes\widehat{\rho} + \widehat{\tau}, && \partial(\widehat{\rho\chi}) = \widehat{\sigma}\otimes\widehat{\chi} + \widehat{\tau},\\
&\partial(\widehat{c\psi\varphi}) = \widehat{c}\otimes\widehat{\psi\varphi} + \widehat{c\psi}\otimes\widehat{\varphi} + \widehat{c\chi} + \widehat{e\varphi}, && \partial(\widehat{\rho\psi a}) = \widehat{\rho\psi}\otimes\widehat{a} + \widehat{\rho}\otimes\widehat{\psi a} + \widehat{\sigma a} + \widehat{\rho d},\\
&\partial(\widehat{\rho b\varphi}) = \widehat{\rho}\otimes\widehat{b\varphi} + \widehat{\rho b}\otimes\widehat{\varphi} + \widehat{e\varphi}.
\end{aligned}$$

As before we regularise the dual quiver to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\deg 0 &= \{\widehat{\varphi}, \widehat{\psi}, \widehat{\rho}, \widehat{b\varphi}, \widehat{\psi a}, \widehat{\rho b}, \widehat{c\psi}, \widehat{\rho d}, \widehat{\rho ba}, \widehat{c\psi a}, \widehat{cb\varphi}\},\\
\deg 1 &= \{\widehat{a}, \widehat{b}, \widehat{c}, \widehat{d}, \widehat{ba}, \widehat{e}, \widehat{cb}, \widehat{f}, \widehat{cba}, \widehat{cd}, \widehat{ea}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial(\widehat{b\varphi}) = \widehat{b}\otimes\widehat{\varphi}, && \partial(\widehat{\psi a}) = \widehat{\psi}\otimes\widehat{a} + \widehat{d},\\
&\partial(\widehat{\rho b}) = \widehat{\rho}\otimes\widehat{b} + \widehat{e}, &&\\
&\partial(\widehat{c\psi}) = \widehat{c}\otimes\widehat{\psi} + \widehat{e}, && \partial(\widehat{\rho d}) = \widehat{\rho}\otimes\widehat{d} + \widehat{f},\\
&\partial(\widehat{\rho ba}) = \widehat{\rho}\otimes\widehat{ba} + \widehat{\rho b}\otimes\widehat{a} + \widehat{ea}, && \partial(\widehat{cb\varphi}) = \widehat{c}\otimes\widehat{b\varphi} + \widehat{cb}\otimes\widehat{\varphi},\\
&\partial(\widehat{c\psi a}) = \widehat{c\psi}\otimes\widehat{a} + \widehat{c}\otimes\widehat{\psi a} + \widehat{cd} + \widehat{ea}.
\end{aligned}$$

Again, the differentials of $\widehat{\rho ba}$, $\widehat{cb\varphi}$ and $\widehat{c\psi a}$ can also depend on the A_∞-structure which does not affect the dimension of the regular quiver as $\partial(\widehat{f})$ can be regularised with $\widehat{\rho d}$.

We can regularise $\widehat{\psi a}$ with $\widehat{d}$, $\widehat{\rho b}$ with $\widehat{e}$, $\widehat{c\psi}$ with $\widehat{e}$, $\widehat{\rho d}$ with $\widehat{f}$, $\widehat{\rho ba}$ with $\widehat{ea}$, and $\widehat{c\psi a}$ with $\widehat{cd}$. We are left with

$$\deg 0 = \{\widehat{\varphi},\ \widehat{\psi},\ \widehat{\rho},\ \widehat{b\varphi},\ \widehat{\rho b},\ \widehat{cb\varphi}\}, \qquad \deg 1 = \{\widehat{a},\ \widehat{b},\ \widehat{c},\ \widehat{ba},\ \widehat{cb},\ \widehat{cba}\},$$

hence dimensions agree with the dimensions of degree zero and degree one part of the dual quiver of a curve-like algebra.

Similar calculations show that the dimensions agree in cases A–C, G and I–K.

We have excluded cases D, E, F. It remains to check how many non-isomorphic A_∞-structures algebras A–C and G–K can be endowed with. Below we write down a table which lists possible A_∞-quasi-isomorphism that can be used to make given m_3 zero.

To exclude possible A_∞-structures, we proceed as in Remark 8.6. The non-trivial value of F_2 used to take given m_3 to zero is listed in the table.

	$m_3(\rho, b, a)$	$m_3(c, \psi, a)$	$m_3(c, b, \varphi)$
A		$F_2(c\psi, a)$	$F_2(c, b\varphi)$
B	$F_2(\rho b, a)$		$F_2(c, b\varphi)$
C	$F_2(\rho b, a)$	$F_2(\psi, a)^*$	$F_2(c, b\varphi)$
G	$F_2(\rho b, a)$	$F_2(c\psi, a)$	
H	$F_2(\rho b, a)$	$F_2(c\psi, a)$	
I		$F_2(c\psi, a)$	$F_2(c, b\varphi)$
J	$F_2(\rho b, a)$	$F_2(c\psi, a)$	$F_2(c, b)^*$
K	$F_2(\rho b, a)$	$F_2(c\psi, a)$	$F_2(c, b)^*$

In cases marked with * we use the fact that the possible non-zero value of m_3 can be decomposed, i.e. we proceed as in Remark 8.6 and $m_3(\rho, \psi, a)$.

It follows that there are 13 possible algebras:

A1:

$$\psi a = 0,\quad b\varphi \neq 0,\quad \rho b = 0,\quad c\psi \neq 0,\quad \rho\psi a = 0,\quad \rho b\varphi = 0,\quad c\psi\varphi \neq 0,\quad m_3(\rho, b, a) = 0$$

A2:

$$\psi a = 0,\quad b\varphi \neq 0,\quad \rho b = 0,\quad c\psi \neq 0,\quad \rho\psi a = 0,\quad \rho b\varphi = 0,\quad c\psi\varphi \neq 0,\quad m_3(\rho, b, a) = c\psi\varphi.$$

B1:

$$\psi a = 0,\quad b\varphi \neq 0,\quad \rho b \neq 0,\quad c\psi = 0,\quad \rho\psi a = 0,\quad \rho b\varphi \neq 0,\quad c\psi\varphi = 0,\quad m_3(c, \psi, a) = 0.$$

B2:

$$\psi a = 0,\quad b\varphi \neq 0,\quad \rho b \neq 0,\quad c\psi = 0,\quad \rho\psi a = 0,\quad \rho b\varphi \neq 0,\quad c\psi\varphi = 0,\quad m_3(c, \psi, a) = \rho b\varphi.$$

C

$$\psi a = 0,\qquad b\varphi \neq 0,\qquad \rho b \neq 0,\qquad c\psi \neq 0,\qquad \rho\psi a = 0,\qquad \rho b\varphi \neq 0,\qquad c\psi\varphi \neq 0.$$

G1:

$$\psi a \neq 0, \quad b\varphi = 0, \quad \rho b \neq 0, \quad c\psi = 0, \quad \rho\psi a \neq 0, \quad \rho b\varphi = 0, \quad c\psi\varphi = 0, \quad m_3(c, b, \varphi) = 0.$$

G2:

$$\psi a \neq 0, \quad b\varphi = 0, \quad \rho b \neq 0, \quad c\psi = 0, \quad \rho\psi a \neq 0, \quad \rho b\varphi = 0, \quad c\psi\varphi = 0, \quad m_3(c, b, \varphi) = \rho\psi a.$$

H1:

$$\psi a \neq 0, \quad b\varphi = 0, \quad \rho b \neq 0, \quad c\psi \neq 0, \quad \rho\psi a \neq 0, \quad \rho b\varphi = 0, \quad c\psi\varphi = 0, \quad m_3(c, b, \varphi) = 0.$$

H2:

$$\psi a \neq 0, \quad b\varphi = 0, \quad \rho b \neq 0, \quad c\psi \neq 0, \quad \rho\psi a \neq 0, \quad \rho b\varphi = 0, \quad c\psi\varphi = 0, \quad m_3(c, b, \varphi) = \rho\psi a.$$

I1:

$$\psi a \neq 0, \quad b\varphi \neq 0, \quad \rho b = 0, \quad c\psi \neq 0, \quad \rho\psi a = 0, \quad \rho b\varphi = 0, \quad c\psi\varphi \neq 0, \quad m_3(\rho, b, a) = 0.$$

I2:

$$\psi a \neq 0, \quad b\varphi \neq 0, \quad \rho b = 0, \quad c\psi \neq 0, \quad \rho\psi a = 0, \quad \rho b\varphi = 0, \quad c\psi\varphi \neq 0, \quad m_3(\rho, b, a) = c\psi\varphi.$$

J:

$$\psi a \neq 0, \quad b\varphi \neq 0, \quad \rho b \neq 0, \quad c\psi = 0, \quad \rho\psi a \neq 0, \quad \rho b\varphi \neq 0, \quad c\psi\varphi = 0.$$

K:

$$\psi a \neq 0, \quad b\varphi \neq 0, \quad \rho b \neq 0, \quad c\psi \neq 0, \quad \rho\psi a \neq 0, \quad \rho b\varphi \neq 0, \quad c\psi\varphi \neq 0.$$

We list the corresponding algebras to show that they can be equipped with a duality preserving simple modules:

A1: Quiver

$$1 \underset{\beta}{\overset{\alpha}{\rightleftarrows}} 4 \underset{\delta}{\overset{\gamma}{\rightleftarrows}} 2$$
$$\eta \uparrow \downarrow \varepsilon$$
$$3$$

with relations

$$\beta\alpha = 0, \quad \gamma\alpha = 0, \quad \eta\varepsilon = 0, \quad \gamma\delta = 0, \quad \beta\delta = 0, \quad \beta\eta\varepsilon\alpha = 0, \quad \gamma\eta\varepsilon\delta = 0.$$

A2: Quiver

$$1 \underset{\beta}{\overset{\alpha}{\rightleftarrows}} 3 \underset{\delta}{\overset{\gamma}{\rightleftarrows}} 4 \underset{\eta}{\overset{\varepsilon}{\rightleftarrows}} 2$$

with relations

$$\beta\alpha = 0, \quad \varepsilon\eta = 0, \quad \delta\gamma = \alpha\beta, \quad \varepsilon\gamma\delta\eta = 0, \quad \beta\delta\eta\varepsilon\gamma\alpha = 0.$$

B1: Quiver

$$4 \underset{\varepsilon}{\overset{\eta}{\rightleftarrows}} 3 \underset{\gamma}{\overset{\delta}{\rightleftarrows}} 1 \underset{\beta}{\overset{\alpha}{\rightleftarrows}} 2$$

with relations

$$\alpha\beta = 0, \qquad \varepsilon\eta = 0, \qquad \gamma\delta = 0, \qquad \gamma\beta\alpha\delta = 0.$$

B2: Quiver

$$4 \underset{\varepsilon}{\overset{\eta}{\rightleftarrows}} 1 \underset{\delta}{\overset{\gamma}{\rightleftarrows}} 3, \qquad 1 \underset{\alpha}{\overset{\beta}{\rightleftarrows}} 2$$

with relations

$$\varepsilon\beta = 0, \quad \gamma\delta = 0, \quad \alpha\beta = 0, \quad \varepsilon\eta = 0, \quad \alpha\eta = 0, \quad \gamma\beta\alpha\delta = 0, \quad \varepsilon\delta\gamma\eta = 0.$$

C: Quiver

$$1 \underset{\beta}{\overset{\alpha}{\rightleftarrows}} 2 \underset{\delta}{\overset{\gamma}{\rightleftarrows}} 4 \underset{\eta}{\overset{\varepsilon}{\rightleftarrows}} 3$$

with relations

$$\beta\alpha = 0, \qquad \delta\gamma = 0, \qquad \varepsilon\eta = 0, \qquad \alpha\beta = \delta\eta\varepsilon\gamma.$$

G1: Quiver

$$1 \underset{\beta}{\overset{\alpha}{\rightleftarrows}} 2 \underset{\delta}{\overset{\gamma}{\rightleftarrows}} 3 \underset{\eta}{\overset{\varepsilon}{\rightleftarrows}} 4$$

with relations

$$\alpha\beta = 0, \qquad \gamma\delta = 0, \qquad \varepsilon\eta = 0.$$

G2: Quiver

$$1 \underset{\beta}{\overset{\alpha}{\rightleftarrows}} 2 \underset{\delta}{\overset{\gamma}{\rightleftarrows}} 3 \underset{\eta}{\overset{\varepsilon}{\rightleftarrows}} 4$$

with relations

$$\alpha\beta = \delta\eta\varepsilon\gamma, \qquad \gamma\delta = 0, \qquad \varepsilon\eta = 0.$$

H1: Quiver

$$1 \underset{\beta}{\overset{\alpha}{\rightleftarrows}} 2 \underset{\delta}{\overset{\gamma}{\rightleftarrows}} 3 \underset{\eta}{\overset{\varepsilon}{\rightleftarrows}} 4$$

with relations

$$\alpha\beta = 0, \qquad \gamma\delta = \eta\varepsilon, \qquad \varepsilon\eta = 0.$$

H2: Quiver

$$1 \underset{\beta}{\overset{\alpha}{\rightleftarrows}} 2 \underset{\delta}{\overset{\gamma}{\rightleftarrows}} 3 \underset{\eta}{\overset{\varepsilon}{\rightleftarrows}} 4$$

with relations

$$\alpha\beta = \delta\eta\varepsilon\gamma, \qquad \gamma\delta = \eta\varepsilon, \qquad \varepsilon\eta = 0.$$

I1: Quiver

$$1 \underset{\beta}{\overset{\alpha}{\leftrightarrows}} 4 \underset{\delta}{\overset{\gamma}{\leftrightarrows}} 3 \underset{\eta}{\overset{\varepsilon}{\leftrightarrows}} 2$$

with relations

$$\varepsilon\eta = 0, \qquad \beta\alpha = 0, \qquad \gamma\delta = \eta\varepsilon, \qquad \beta\delta\gamma\alpha = 0, \qquad \beta\delta\eta\varepsilon\gamma\alpha = 0.$$

I2: Quiver

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & \underset{\beta}{\overset{\alpha}{\leftrightarrows}} & 3 & \underset{\delta}{\overset{\gamma}{\leftrightarrows}} & 2 \\ & & \eta \uparrow \downarrow \varepsilon & & \\ & & 4 & & \end{array}$$

with relations

$$\beta\alpha = 0, \qquad \gamma\delta = 0, \qquad \beta\delta\gamma\alpha = 0, \qquad \eta\varepsilon = \delta\gamma + \alpha\beta.$$

J: Quiver

$$1 \underset{\beta}{\overset{\alpha}{\leftrightarrows}} 2 \underset{\delta}{\overset{\gamma}{\leftrightarrows}} 3 \underset{\eta}{\overset{\varepsilon}{\leftrightarrows}} 4$$

with relations

$$\alpha\beta = \delta\gamma, \qquad \gamma\delta = 0, \qquad \varepsilon\eta = 0.$$

K: Quiver

$$1 \underset{\beta}{\overset{\alpha}{\leftrightarrows}} 2 \underset{\delta}{\overset{\gamma}{\leftrightarrows}} 3 \underset{\eta}{\overset{\varepsilon}{\leftrightarrows}} 4$$

with relations

$$\alpha\beta = \delta\gamma, \qquad \gamma\delta = \eta\varepsilon, \qquad \varepsilon\eta = 0.$$

The algebras marked B1, B2 and K are Ringel self-dual. The algebra A1 is Ringel dual to G1, A2 is Ringel dual to G2, the algebra C is Ringel dual to J, the algebra H1 is Ringel dual to I1, and, finally, the algebra H2 is Ringel dual to I2.

Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a birational morphism of smooth surfaces which can be decomposed into 4 blow-ups of smooth points. Then the category

$$\mathscr{A}_f := \{E \in \mathrm{Coh}(X) \mid Rf_*E = 0\}$$

is equivalent to the category of modules over a quasi-hereditary algebra Λ_f, see [BB17]. If the associated partial order on simple modules is full, then the algebra Λ_f is Morita equivalent to one of the algebras A2, C, I1, I2 or K.

References

[BB17] Agnieszka Bodzenta and Alexey Bondal. Derived categories of smooth surfaces contractions. in preparation, 2017.

[Beĭ84] Alexander A. Beĭlinson. The derived category of coherent sheaves on $\mathbf{P}^n$. *Selecta Mathematica Sovietica*, 3(3):233–237, 1984. Selected translations.

[Bod13] Agnieszka Bodzenta. DG quivers of smooth rational surfaces. preprint, arXiv:1301.6338, to appear in Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society, 2013.

[Bon89] Alexey Bondal. Representations of associative algebras and coherent sheaves. *Izvestiia Akademii Nauk SSSR. Seriya Matematicheskaya*, 53(1):25–44, 1989.

[BSZ09] Raymundo Bautista, Leonardo Salmerón, and Rita Zuazua. *Differential tensor categories and their module categories*, volume 362 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009. x+452 pp.

[Bur05] William L. Burt. Almost split sequences and BOCSes. unpublished manuscript, August 2005.

[BW03] Tomasz Brzezinski and Robert Wisbauer. *Corings and comodules*, volume 309 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003. xii+476 pp.

[DR92] Vastimil Dlab and Claus Michael Ringel. The module theoretical approach to quasi-hereditary algebras. In *Representations of algebras and related topics (Kyoto, 1990)*, volume 168 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*, pages 200–224. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.

[HP11] Lutz Hille and Markus Perling. Exceptional sequences of invertible sheaves on rational surfaces. *Compositio Mathematica*, 147(4):1230–1280, 2011.

[HP14] Lutz Hille and Markus Perling. Tilting bundles on rational surfaces and quasi-hereditary algebras. *Université de Grenoble. Annales de l'Institut Fourier*, 64(2):625–644, 2014.

[Iya03] Osamu Iyama. Finiteness of representation dimension. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 131(4):1011–1014, 2003.

[KK99] Michael Klucznik and Steffen Koenig. Characteristic tilting modules over quasi hereditary algebras. Sonderforschungsbereich Diskrete Strukturen in der Mathematik (Bielefeld): Ergänzungsreihe ; 99,004, 1999.

[KKO14] Steffen Koenig, Julian Külshammer, and Sergiy Ovsienko. Quasi-hereditary algebras, exact Borel subalgebras, A_∞-categories and boxes. *Advances in Mathematics*, 262:546–592, 2014.

[KM16] Julian Külshammer and Vanessa Miemietz. Uniqueness of exact Borel subalgebras for quasi-hereditary algebras. Work in progress, 2016.

[Kül17] Julian Külshammer. In the bocs seat: Quasi-hereditary algebras and representation type. In *Representation theory - current trends and perspectives*, pages 375–426. EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2017.

[Maz10] Volodymyr Mazorchuk. Koszul duality for stratified algebras. I. Balanced quasi-hereditary algebras. *Manuscripta Mathematica*, 131(1-2):1–10, 2010.

[Miy86] Yoichi Miyashita. Tilting modules of finite projective dimension. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 193(1):113–146, 1986.

[MS16] Octavio Mendoza and Valente Santiago. Homological systems in triangulated categories. *Applied Categorical Structures*, 24(1):1–35, 2016.

[Nee90] Amnon Neeman. The derived category of an exact category. *Journal of Algebra*, 135(2):388–394, 1990.

[Opp15] Steffen Oppermann. Quivers for silting mutation. preprint, arXiv: 1504.02617, 2015.

[Ovs06] Serge Ovsienko. A construction of dual box. *Algebra and Discrete Mathematics*, (2):77–86, 2006.

[Par01] Alison E. Parker. The global dimension of Schur algebras for GL_2 and GL_3. *J. Algebra*, 241(1):340–378, 2001.

[Rin10] Claus Michael Ringel. Iyama's finiteness theorem via strongly quasi-hereditary algebras. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 214(9):1687–1692, 2010.

[Roĭ79] A. V. Roĭter. Matrix problems and represention of BOCSes. In *Representations and quadratic forms (Russian)*, pages 3–38, 154. Akad. Nauk Ukrain. SSR, Inst. Mat., Kiev, 1979.

[Sei08] Paul Seidel. *Fukaya categories and Picard-Lefschetz Theory*. Zurich Lectures in Advanced Mathematics. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2008.

[TT90] Robert W. Thomason and Thomas Trobaugh. Higher algebraic K-theory of schemes and of derived categories. In *The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. III*, volume 88 of *Progress in Mathematics*, pages 247–435. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990.

Agnieszka Bodzenta, School of Mathematics, University of Edinburgh, Peter Guthrie Tait Road, Edinburgh EH9 3FD, U. K.
E-mail address: A.Bodzenta@ed.ac.uk

Julian Külshammer, Institute of Algebra and Number Theory, University of Stuttgart, Pfaffenwaldring 57, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany
E-mail address: kuelsha@mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de